Minireview: Thioredoxin-Interacting Protein: Regulation and Function in the Pancreatic β-Cell
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Pancreatic β-cells are responsible for insulin production, and loss of functional β-cell mass is now recognized as a critical step in the pathogenesis of both type 1 and type 2 diabetes. However, the factors controlling the life and death of the pancreatic β-cell have only started to be elucidated. Discovered as the top glucose-induced gene in a human islet microarray study 12 years ago, thioredoxin-interacting protein (TXNIP) has now emerged as such a key player in pancreatic β-cell biology. Since then, β-cell expression of TXNIP has been found to be tightly regulated by multiple factors and to be dramatically increased in diabetic islets. Elevated TXNIP levels induce β-cell apoptosis, whereas TXNIP deficiency protects against type 1 and type 2 diabetes by promoting β-cell survival. TXNIP interacts with and inhibits thioredoxin and thereby controls the cellular redox state, but it also belongs to the β-arrestin family of proteins and regulates a variety of metabolic processes. Most recently, TXNIP has been discovered to control β-cell microRNA expression, β-cell function, and insulin production. In this review, the current state of knowledge regarding regulation and function of TXNIP in the pancreatic β-cell and the implications for drug development are discussed. (Molecular Endocrinology 28: 1211–1220, 2014)

The gene encoding thioredoxin-interacting protein (TXNIP) was first cloned in 1994 (20 years ago) from a 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3-treated HL-60 human promyelocytic cell line (1) and therefore was initially referred to as vitamin D3-up-regulated protein 1 (1–3). However, subsequent TXNIP promoter analyses failed to reveal a consensus vitamin D response element (2), and there are no reports confirming vitamin D-induced TXNIP transcription in other cell systems, suggesting that the effect may have been conferred indirectly by vitamin D-induced differentiation of this promyelocytic cell line.

Four years later, TXNIP was found to be spontaneously mutated in the combined hyperlipidemia (Hyplip1) locus (4) of an inbred congenic C3H mouse strain (HcB-19) (5, 6). The TXNIP gene in these HcB-19 mice has an inactivating nonsense mutation in exon 2 at codon 97, resulting in dramatically reduced TXNIP mRNA and protein levels (7), and the mice are characterized by mild hypoglycemia and elevated plasma insulin, triglycerides, ketone bodies, and free fatty acids (8, 9). Follow-up studies revealed that TXNIP was not associated with familial combined hyperlipidemia in humans (10).

The TXNIP protein was identified in a yeast 2-hybrid system aimed at finding thioredoxin-binding proteins and was therefore designated thioredoxin-binding protein-2 (3). (P40phox had been identified as thioredoxin-binding protein-1 [11].)

To date, the designation TXNIP is being used predominantly, reflecting at least some of the protein’s actions. TXNIP binds to and inhibits thioredoxin and thereby can modulate the cellular redox state and induce oxidative stress (3, 11–14) (Figure 1). More specifically, TXNIP interferes with thioredoxin-mediated protein denitrosylation (15). Thioredoxin is a thiol-oxidoreductase and part of a major cellular reducing system protecting cells against oxidative stress (16). The thioredoxin system re-
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duces oxidized proteins, resulting in oxidation of the 2 cysteine residues of thioredoxin. To return to a reduced and active state, thioredoxin has to be reduced back by the NADPH-dependent thioredoxin reductase (11, 17). The thioredoxin system has been shown to be involved in multiple cellular processes including cell proliferation and apoptosis (11, 18–20).

Based on this interaction with thioredoxin and function as a cellular redox regulator, TXNIP has been thought to be localized in the cytoplasm (12, 21, 22). However, more recent findings have revealed that TXNIP can also translocate into the mitochondria where it binds to mitochondrial thioredoxin 2, releasing ASK1 from its inhibition by Trx2 and allowing for phosphorylation and activation of ASK1 (23). This in turn leads to cytochrome c (Cyt C) release from the mitochondria, cleavage of caspase-3, and apoptosis. TXNIP has also been found to be localized in the nucleus and to modulate the expression of various microRNAs (eg, miR-204). These microRNAs down-regulate the expression of target genes including important β-cell transcription factors such as MafA, which results in reduced insulin transcription and impaired β-cell function.

In regard to TXNIP in pancreatic β-cells, TXNIP was discovered in 2002 to be the most strongly up-regulated gene in response to glucose in a human islet oligonucleotide gene expression microarray study (28). This finding suggested that its expression was regulated by glucose and that it may play an important role in β-cell biology and possibly diabetes. Pancreatic β-cells are uniquely susceptible to oxidative stress because of their low expression level of antioxidant enzymes (29), and loss of functional β-cell mass by apoptosis is a crucial component in the pathogenesis of both type 1 and type 2 diabetes (30–35). Indeed, over the last 12 years TXNIP has emerged as a key player in β-cell biology and an attractive target for novel diabetes therapies (36–39). This minireview is therefore focused on the regulation, signaling, and function of TXNIP in the pancreatic β-cell and summarizes the key findings in this regard (Figure 2). Other redox-dependent and independent effects of TXNIP have recently been reviewed (40, 41).

Human TXNIP is a 46-kDa ubiquitously expressed protein that contains 391 amino acid residues and is encoded on chromosome 1q21.1. TXNIP is highly conserved across species and, for example, mouse TXNIP shows 94% homology with the human protein, contains 395 amino acids, and is located in a region of mouse chromosome 3 that is syntenic to human 1q21 (2). TXNIP belongs to the protein family of α-arrestins characterized by SH3 and PPxY domains, but among these proteins only TXNIP is capable of interacting with thioredoxin (25). The TXNIP protein forms an intramolecular disulfide bond conferred by 2 cysteines, Cys247 and Cys63, and Cys247 is also essential for the interaction of TXNIP with Cys32 of thioredoxin (14). Because of problems overexpressing recombinant full-length TXNIP as a soluble protein, only a partial N-terminal domain of TXNIP could be crystallized until recently (26). However, now the crystal structure of the thioredoxin-TXNIP complex has been resolved, and these analyses have further established that the inhibition of thioredoxin by TXNIP is conferred by an intermolecular disulfide bond-switching mechanism (27).

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the cellular functions of TXNIP. In the cytoplasm, TXNIP binds to and inhibits thioredoxin 1 (Trx1) and thereby interferes with the ability of Trx1 to reduce oxidized proteins, resulting in oxidative stress and increased susceptibility to apoptosis. In addition, TXNIP can also enter the mitochondria where it interacts with mitochondrial thioredoxin 2 (Trx2), releasing ASK1 from its inhibition by Trx2 and allowing for phosphorylation and activation of ASK1. This in turn leads to cytochrome c (Cyt C) release from the mitochondria, cleavage of caspase-3, and apoptosis. TXNIP has also been found to be localized in the nucleus and to modulate the expression of various microRNAs (eg, miR-204). These microRNAs down-regulate the expression of target genes including important β-cell transcription factors such as MafA, which results in reduced insulin transcription and impaired β-cell function.
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Factors and Processes Regulating TXNIP

TXNIP is considered an early response gene (42) and is strongly and acutely regulated at the transcriptional level with generally good concordance between changes in mRNA and protein levels (38, 43, 44). However, recent work has also revealed that TXNIP is controlled at the level of mRNA stability (45) as well as protein stability (46–48).

Glucose and diabetes

TXNIP was initially identified to be strongly up-regulated by glucose in a human islet gene expression microarray study (28), and these findings were later confirmed by quantitative real-time RT-PCR and immunoblotting in primary islets as well as in INS-1 β-cells (36, 38, 43, 44). Analyses to identify the cis- and trans-acting factors conferring this glucose-induced TXNIP expression revealed a well conserved E-box repeat in the proximal TXNIP promoter (36). Although in alignment with previously reported carbohydrate response elements consisting of 2 E-boxes, the one in the TXNIP promoter differed by the fact that it was nonpalindromic (36). Nevertheless, it has been shown to serve as the binding site for the major CRE carbohydrate response element–binding protein (ChREBP) known today (49). (Whereas ChREBP is the predominantly expressed form of this transcription factor in pancreatic β-cells [49] and liver [50] and has been shown to play a major role in β-cell glucose toxicity [51], tissues such as skeletal muscle and heart express primarily its paralog MondoA [52]. In analogy to ChREBP, MondoA confers glucose responsiveness of TXNIP in these tissues [52]). Interestingly, “nonmetabolizable” sugars such as 2-deoxyglucose and even 3-O-methylglucose have been found to induce TXNIP transcription (36, 53). Recent studies indicated again that neither phosphorylation nor effects on D-glucose metabolism are required for induction of TXNIP expression in response to 3-O-methylglucose (54). A potential alternate explanation suggests that ChREBP/MondoA enters the nucleus and transcribes TXNIP not only in response to glucose 6-phosphate but also in response to glucosamine 6-phosphate and the hexosamine biosynthetic pathway (55).

Interestingly, glucose-induced TXNIP expression has been found to be inhibited by fatty acids such as palmitate (38, 56). In contrast, glucocorticoids have been shown to induce β-cell TXNIP expression (57), and TXNIP has been suggested to play a potential role in the perturbed glucose homeostasis of Cushing syndrome (58).

Consistent with its induction by glucose, β-cell TXNIP expression is also markedly increased in diabetes, including a variety of rodent models with and without obesity (24, 36, 37, 39, 59).

Insulin and other drugs

In contrast, insulin has been reported to decrease TXNIP expression in vitro in β-cells (59) as well as in muscle and fat tissues (60), suggesting that this effect is not dependent on any changes in glucose. However, in vivo hyperglycemia seems to override any insulin effects, because TXNIP levels are dramatically increased in diabetes even in the context of severe hyperinsulinemia (37, 39).

Figure 2. Regulation and signaling of β-cell TXNIP. β-Cell TXNIP expression is strongly induced by glucose and is increased in diabetes as well as in response to ER stress, and this induction is conferred at the transcriptional level by ChREBP and at the posttranscriptional level by a decrease in miR-17 and is modulated by a number of additional factors. TXNIP in turn inhibits thioredoxin function and promotes oxidative stress and β-cell death. In addition, by modulating the expression of distinct microRNAs (miR-204 and miR-124a) and with that the expression of their target genes (MafA and FoxA2) TXNIP inhibits insulin transcription while inducing IAPP transcription. These detrimental effects are further magnified by the fact that TXNIP promotes its own expression, again via ChREBP.
The glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonist, exenatide, has also been shown to reduce β-cell TXNIP expression (61). Because exenatide is a strong insulin secretagogue, this could be due to the resulting increase in insulin secretion. In addition, proteasome-dependent ubiquitination and degradation of TXNIP have been suggested to be involved in GLP-1-mediated TXNIP down-regulation (47).

Recently, calcium channel blockers such as the antihypertensive drug verapamil were found to effectively reduce β-cell TXNIP expression in vitro as well as in vivo (44). This effect was due to the associated decrease in intracellular calcium and was found with other calcium channel blockers of the L-type and the T-type and in response to calcium chelation. It was further mediated by inhibition of calcineurin signaling and nuclear exclusion of ChREBP (44).

**TXNIP and transcription factors**

Interestingly, TXNIP itself has recently been shown to stimulate its own expression via a feed-forward loop (62), which means that any TXNIP induction as, for example, by glucose is further amplified. Once again, the effect was mediated by the transcription factor ChREBP.

ChREBP was initially discovered in liver (63) and later shown to also play a role in β-cells (49, 64). ChREBP activity is primarily regulated by its cellular localization, nuclear entry, and posttranslational modification, ie, phosphorylation status (50, 65–67). Glucose and nutrients have been shown to promote dephosphorylation and with that activation of ChREBP via induction of protein phosphatase 2A (68) and/or inhibition of AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) (56, 69). Interestingly, TXNIP-induced TXNIP expression was also mediated via inhibition/dephosphorylation of AMPK (62). Once in the nucleus, ChREBP binds as heterodimer with Mlx and in the case of TXNIP recruits the coactivator and histone deacetylase p300, resulting in histone H4 deacetylation and opening up of the chromatin structure to allow for polymerase II progression (49).

Recently, another transcription factor, forkhead box (Fox) O1, has been shown to modulate β-cell TXNIP expression by competing with ChREBP for DNA binding at the TXNIP promoter and to thereby inhibit glucose-induced TXNIP expression (43). Interestingly, FoxO1 also regulates the induction of MafA and NeuroD, both β-cell transcription factors involved in the activation of insulin gene expression (70, 71). FoxO1 is therefore believed to promote normal β-cell function, which is consistent with its inhibitory effects on TXNIP. In contrast, FoxO1 has been suggested to impair compensatory β-cell differentiation and proliferation (72–74) by interfering with the essential transcription factor involved in these processes, Pdx1.

**Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress and microRNA miR-17**

ER stress has recently been found to induce β-cell TXNIP expression (45, 75). These 2 back-to-back articles further revealed that both transcriptional as well as posttranscriptional factors were involved. Accumulation of unfolded proteins in the ER leads to activation of signaling pathways referred to as the unfolded protein response. If the problem is not able to be resolved, this results in ER stress and ultimately apoptosis via 3 pathways involving protein kinase R-like ER kinase (PERK), serine/threonine-protein kinase/endoribonuclease (IRE1α), and activating transcription factor (ATF) 6 (76). Interestingly, PERK and IRE1α, but not ATF6 seemed to mediate ER-stress–induced TXNIP expression (45, 75). PERK signaling led to increased TXNIP transcription again via enhanced ChREBP expression and nuclear translocation as well as via ATF5, a member of the ATF/cAMP response element-binding protein family of transcription factors (75). On the other hand, activated/phosphorylated IRE1α resulted in increased TXNIP mRNA stability, IRE1α functions as a bifunctional kinase/RNase and reduces the levels of a TXNIP-destabilizing microRNA, miR-17 (45). microRNAs are small, noncoding RNAs that bind predominantly to the 3′-UTR of target mRNAs leading to mRNA degradation or translational inhibition of the target mRNA and down-regulation of target gene expression (77–79). miR-17 has been shown to target the 3′-UTR of TXNIP and to inhibit TXNIP expression (45, 80). ER stress–induced TXNIP expression seems therefore to be due to an increase in TXNIP transcription as well as to a release from the inhibitory effects of miR-17 and the resulting increase in TXNIP mRNA stability and translation.

**Posttranslational processes**

Most factors described so far regulate TXNIP at the mRNA level, be it through transcription or mRNA stability, and the effects are translated accordingly into altered TXNIP protein levels. However, there have also been a few reports suggesting some posttranslational regulation of TXNIP. Interestingly, TXNIP has been found to be phosphorylated by AMPK, which in turn leads to its degradation (46). (On the other hand, TXNIP inhibits AMPK, as mentioned above [62]). In addition, GLP-1/cAMP signaling has been suggested to enhance proteasome-dependent TXNIP degradation in β-cells (47). Insulin has also been reported to promote TXNIP degradation through an ubiquitin/proteasome pathway,
but this effect is specific to adipocytes and myotubes and does not occur in pancreatic β-cells (48).

Taken together, these results show that TXNIP expression is tightly regulated at the mRNA level and strongly induced by pathophysiological stressors such as diabetes. Given the critical role TXNIP plays in controlling cellular life vs death decisions, it is not surprising that its expression is further regulated by a number of endogenous and exogenous factors (eg, ER stress, fatty acids, FoxO1, and calcium channel blockers, respectively). Interestingly, all these effects are mediated by or involve the transcription factor ChREBP, which seems to play a key role in the regulation of β-cell TXNIP expression (Figure 2). Nevertheless, additional posttranscriptional and posttranslational TXNIP regulatory mechanisms have been reported and include miR-17-mediated TXNIP down-regulation as well as proteasome-dependent TXNIP degradation.

Roles of TXNIP in β-Cell Biology

TXNIP has been found not only to be highly regulated in β-cells as outlined above, but also to exert a number of functions that have a great impact on the life and death of the pancreatic β-cell as well as the development and progression of diabetes (Figure 2).

β-Cell apoptosis and survival

By inhibiting thioredoxin, TXNIP plays a major role in inducing oxidative stress (3, 11–14), and overexpression of TXNIP in β-cells has been shown to promote apoptosis (36, 81). This is consistent with the particular susceptibility of β-cells to oxidative stress (29) as well as with findings in extrapancreatic tissues (12, 82). The proapoptotic effect is mediated primarily by induction of the mitochondrial death pathway and involves phosphorylation/activation of ASK1, cytochrome c release, and caspase-3 cleavage (23, 38, 39). In contrast, TXNIP deficiency is protective, enhances Akt/Bcl-xL signaling, and promotes β-cell survival even in the context of high glucose (37, 38). In fact, TXNIP was identified as a critical link between glucose toxicity and β-cell apoptosis (39, 83, 84).

β-Cell function and insulin production

Recently, TXNIP has also been discovered to regulate the most crucial aspect of β-cell function, namely insulin production (24). TXNIP induces the expression of a specific microRNA, miR-204, by inhibiting the activity of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (24), a transcription factor involved in miR-204 regulation (85, 86). In turn, miR-204 directly targets the 3′-UTR of MafA, a known insulin transcription factor (87), and down-regulates its expression. This results in decreased insulin transcription and insulin production (24). Importantly, this increase in miR-204 and decrease in MafA and insulin production was not only observed in vitro in response to TXNIP overexpression in β-cells and in primary human islets, but also in vivo in several diabetes mouse models associated with increased TXNIP expression (eg, B6-obese, BTBR ob/ob, and A-ZIP/F-1), suggesting that this pathway might play a role in the β-cell dysfunction of diabetes (24, 36, 37, 39). In addition, TXNIP deficiency was associated with an increase in insulin production, and this effect was completely blunted by miR-204 overexpression (24).

Islet amyloid polypeptide (IAPP) is the major component of islet amyloid and tends to aggregate into insoluble amyloid fibrils. IAPP deposits are often colocalized with β-cell apoptosis and are found in islets of most patients with type 2 diabetes (88). IAPP has therefore been strongly associated with the progressive loss of pancreatic β-cell mass in diabetes. Although IAPP is cosecreted with insulin by β-cells (88, 89) and similarities between the IAPP and the insulin promoter exist, TXNIP has recently been found to specifically promote IAPP expression (90). Interestingly, it does so by inhibiting the expression of another microRNA, miR-124a, which in turn stabilizes the mRNA of the FoxA2 transcription factor. FoxA2 then binds to the IAPP promoter and induces IAPP transcription, a process that can be blocked by miR-124a overexpression (90).

Additional processes

Based on its role in cellular redox regulation, TXNIP was previously considered a purely cytosolic protein (12, 21, 22). However, it has now been found to be also localized in β-cell nuclei (23) where it may help exert the observed effects on gene/microRNA expression. TXNIP has also been shown to shuttle into mitochondria, where it interacts with mitochondrial thioredoxin 2, which markedly contributes to TXNIP-induced apoptosis and oxidative stress (23).

TXNIP has also been discovered to induce activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome, a NACHT, LRR, and PYD domain-containing protein (91). NLRP3 inflammasome activation leads to caspase-1 activation and cleavage of pro-IL-1β to mature IL-1β, and both inflammation and IL-1β have been shown to play important roles in the pathogenesis of type 1 and type 2 diabetes (45, 75, 91, 92). However, although some initial studies proposed that IL-1β was being produced in β-cells (93), NLRP3 inflammasome expression and IL-1β production are largely restricted to monocytes and cells of the innate immune system (94, 95), which has been further con-
firmed in more recent studies (96). Although it is therefore conceivable that TXNIP-induced inflammasome activation and IL-1β production take place in resident macrophages present in the intact islet or in any infiltrating immune cells, they are less likely to occur in the β-cell per se.

In non-β-cells and particularly in skeletal muscle, adipocytes, and hepatocytes, TXNIP was found to inhibit glucose uptake, and this effect is conferred by inhibition of the glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) (46, 60). TXNIP thereby reduces GLUT1 mRNA expression and also binds to GLUT1, leading to its internalization (46).

TXNIP Deficiency and Diabetes Prevention

Diabetes has become a major health issue worldwide. Although some of the underlying causes and cornerstones of type 1 and type 2 diabetes are distinct, i.e., autoimmunity and insulin resistance, respectively, loss of functional β-cell mass represents a crucial common feature in the pathogenesis of both diabetes types (30–35). Moreover, elevated TXNIP levels have emerged as a critical factor involved in β-cell dysfunction, β-cell death, and the resulting development of diabetes and its complications. On the other hand, genetic deletion and pharmacological inhibition of β-cell TXNIP have been shown to protect against type 1 and type 2 diabetes.

Type 1 diabetes

Interestingly, TXNIP-deficient HcB-19 mice demonstrate an increase in their β-cell mass under normal conditions. In addition, they have been found to be protected against multiple low-dose streptozotocin (STZ)-induced β-cell destruction and diabetes (37). As mentioned above, TXNIP deletion also has peripheral effects and promotes glucose uptake in skeletal muscle and adipose tissue (60) and inhibits hepatic glucose production (97), all features that could contribute to improved glucose homeostasis. However, it is important to note that STZ-induced diabetes has also been shown to be completely prevented in bTKO (β-cell-specific TXNIP knockout) mice suggesting that deletion of TXNIP in the β-cell is sufficient to achieve these protective effects (37). Moreover, bTKO mice also exhibit a dramatic reduction in β-cell apoptosis and an increase in β-cell mass compared with those in STZ-treated control lox/lox mice (37). Furthermore, β-cell-specific overexpression of thioredoxin has been shown to protect against STZ-induced diabetes as well as against autoimmune diabetes in the NOD (nonobese) diabetic mouse (98), and graft survival of islets transduced with thioredoxin is prolonged in NOD mice (99). Together these findings seem to indicate that the β-cell–protective effects of TXNIP deficiency are mediated by thioredoxin. In contrast, some of the metabolic and peripheral TXNIP effects such as inhibition of glucose uptake were found to be independent of thioredoxin and were attributed to the arrestin domains of TXNIP (40).

More recently, the calcium channel blocker and anti-hypertensive drug verapamil has been shown to reduce β-cell TXNIP expression and to protect against STZ-induced diabetes (44). Importantly, even when the oral verapamil administration was started after overt diabetes had fully developed, verapamil was able to rescue mice from diabetes, normalize blood glucose levels, and restore insulin producing β-cells (44).

Aside from the type 1 diabetes models mentioned above, TXNIP deletion has also been found to reduce β-cell death and diabetes caused by proinsulin misfolding and ER stress in the Akita mouse (45).

Type 2 diabetes

Leptin deficiency in BTBR ob/ob mice leads not only to severe obesity and insulin resistance as on the C57BL/6 background but also to severe diabetes, and mice typically die spontaneously at age 14 to 16 weeks, making this one of the most stringent type 2 diabetes models (100). Nevertheless, TXNIP deficiency is still able to protect these BTBR ob/ob mice against diabetes and completely normalize their blood glucose levels for >6 months (44). Again this is associated with a striking reduction in β-cell apoptosis and increase in β-cell mass as well as some improvement in insulin sensitivity. Intriguingly though, these beneficial effects occur in the face of severe obesity, demonstrating that the lack of TXNIP is able to unlink diabetes from obesity (44). Of note, very similar results have been observed in TXNIP-null mice fed a high-fat diet (101). Diabetes progression has also been found to be suppressed in transgenic leptin receptor-deficient db/db mice with β-cell-specific expression of thioredoxin (102). Furthermore, pharmacological inhibition of TXNIP again with verapamil has been shown to improve β-cell survival and diabetes in BTRB ob/ob mice (44). One obvious question is why such potentially beneficial effects of calcium channel blockers in terms of diabetes control and progression have not been recognized previously in humans. In this regard, it is important to remember that calcium channel blockers are antihypertensive drugs, and most clinical studies focus on mortality and cardiovascular events as primary and secondary outcomes, rather than metabolic control. Nevertheless, verapamil has been shown to have beneficial effects in diabetic cardiomyopathy (103) and spinoff studies of the International Verapamil SR/Trandolapril Study (INVEST) revealed that ve-
rapamil SR reduced the risk of new-onset diabetes (104, 105).

**Implications for Drug Development**

Diabetes therapies have significantly improved over the last several decades, but curative approaches are still missing. In addition, even though loss of functional β-cell mass by apoptosis has now been well established as a critical step in the pathogenesis of type 1 as well as type 2 diabetes (30–35), approaches targeting this problem are lacking. Based on the studies summarized above, TXNIP has emerged as an attractive novel therapeutic target for diabetes and for the promotion of endogenous β-cell mass and insulin production (24, 37, 44). In addition, the results provide a genetic as well as pharmacological proof of concept for the beneficial effects of TXNIP inhibition and its ability not only to prevent but also reverse diabetes (44). In fact, large screening efforts for drug discovery have already revealed potential specific TXNIP inhibitors, and ongoing studies are currently testing promising lead compounds.

Obvious concerns regarding therapeutic TXNIP inhibition include possible off-target effects due to the ubiquitous expression pattern of TXNIP and any potential neoplastic risk due to the inhibition of a proapoptotic factor. However, inhibition of TXNIP has also been shown to have beneficial effects in other tissues (60, 97), including the cardiovascular system (106, 107) and the diabetic heart (108, 109), kidney (110), and retina (111) making a tissue-specific approach unnecessary and even undesirable. In addition, even lifelong whole-body TXNIP deficiency, as in the HcB-19 mice, has been found to be associated only with an increased risk of development of hepatocellular carcinomas later in life (112), without any notable increase in leukemias, lymphomas, or other tumors as would be expected in response to inhibition of a classic tumor-suppressor gene. Most importantly, though, TXNIP inhibition targets a disease problem (ie, increased TXNIP), and the apparent goal is therefore to normalize TXNIP to its physiological, nondiabetic levels, thereby limiting any potential side effects due to abnormally low TXNIP.

**Concluding Remarks**

The knowledge gathered to date about TXNIP has provided major new insights into β-cell biology and diabetes development, but it has also raised some teleological questions such as whether the observed increase in β-cell TXNIP is a cause or just a consequence of diabetes and why such a “detrimental” TXNIP signaling cascade may even exist.

Given the well-defined mechanisms of glucose-induced TXNIP expression, there is no doubt that the observed increase in β-cell TXNIP is a result of diabetic hyperglycemia. However, several lines of evidence also suggest that TXNIP plays a causative role in the pathogenesis of diabetes. Considering that its expression is rapidly and strongly induced by glucose, it is highly conceivable that even short-term postprandial glucose excursions (as often seen in prediabetes) may lead to a gradual, cumulative increase in TXNIP expression before any onset of overt diabetes. Moreover, insulin resistance or any increased demand on the β-cell may, via the unfolded protein response and ER stress, also lead to elevated β-cell TXNIP levels. Furthermore, the newly identified feed-forward loop suggests that any increase in TXNIP expression would be further magnified, leading to more β-cell apoptosis and further impairment in glucose homeostasis and amplification of this vicious cycle. Most importantly, deletion or inhibition of TXNIP effectively protected against type 1 and type 2 diabetes, strongly supporting the notion that TXNIP also plays a causative role in the development of diabetes.

On the other hand, it is important to remember that normal, basal levels of TXNIP expression are not detrimental to β-cell biology and that only the pathological increase as observed in diabetes causes β-cell dysfunction and β-cell death. In addition, it is tempting to speculate that, similar to the “thrifty gene” theory, basal TXNIP expression may have been beneficial in the context of prehistoric food scarcity and exposure to microbes, whereas under the current conditions of excess energy- and glucose-dense foods associated with a Western diet, the resulting increase in TXNIP starts exerting detrimental effects. In fact, TXNIP-induced oxidative stress could be viewed as a cellular antimicrobial defense mechanism, and TXNIP has been shown to play an important role in the metabolic transition from the fed to the fasting state (8). In addition, TXNIP inhibits insulin transcription, resulting in reduced insulin production, while promoting hepatic glucose production, and thereby may help prevent hypoglycemia and promote survival in the context of acute starvation. Indeed, TXNIP-null mice were found to be more sensitive to starvation and exhibited a markedly increased death rate in response to prolonged fasting (113), further supporting this notion.

In summary, a lot has been learned over the last 12 years about TXNIP in the β-cell and as a therapeutic target, but it seems that the future may still hold some
exciting and potentially highly relevant TXNIP-related discoveries.

Acknowledgments

Address all correspondence and requests for reprints to: Anath Shalev, MD, Professor of Medicine, Director, Comprehensive Diabetes Center, University of Alabama at Birmingham, 1825 University Boulevard, SHEL 1206 Birmingham, AL 35294-
2182. E-mail: shalev@uab.edu.

This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (Grant R01DK-078752), the American Diabetes Association (Grant 7-12-BS-167), and the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation and [NJSI (Grant 40-2011–1).

Disclosure Summary: The author has nothing to disclose.

References

36. Minn AH, Hafele C, Shalev A. Thioredoxin-interacting protein is stimulated by glucose through a carbohydrate response element


75. Olsowski CM, Hara T, O'Sullivan-Murphy B, et al. Thioredoxin-


91. Shalev TXNIP in the β-Cell


