

Article

CaSTH2 disables CaWRKY40 from activating pepper thermotolerance and immunity against *Ralstonia solanacearum* via physical interaction

Xingge Cheng^{1,2,3,†}, Meiyun Wan^{1,2,3,†}, Yuqiu Song^{1,2,3}, Qian Liu^{1,2,4}, Xiaohui Hu^{1,2,3}, Xiufang Chen^{1,2,3}, Xujing Zhang^{1,2,4}, Yapeng Zhang^{1,2,3}, Ruijie Wu^{1,2,3}, Qiaoling Lu^{1,2,3}, Yu Huang^{1,2,3}, Jingang Lv^{1,2,3}, Weiwei Cai⁵, Deyi Guan^{1,2,3}, Sheng Yang^{1,6,*}, and Shuilin He^{1,2,3,*}

¹National Education Ministry Key Laboratory of Plant Genetic Improvement and Comprehensive Utilization, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, China

²Key Laboratory of Applied Genetics of Universities in Fujian Province, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, China

³College of Agriculture, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, China

⁴College of Life Sciences, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, China

⁵College of Horticultural Sciences, Zhejiang Agriculture and Forestry University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 350002, China

⁶Beijing Key Laboratory of Growth and Developmental Regulation for Protected Vegetable Crops, Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, China Agricultural University, Beijing, 100193, China

*Corresponding authors: E-mails: 2022019@cau.edu.cn; shlhe@fafu.edu.cn

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

CaWRKY40 coordinately activates pepper immunity against *Ralstonia solanacearum* infection (RSI) and high temperature stress (HTS), forms positive feedback loops with other positive regulators and is promoted by CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28 through physical interactions; however, whether and how it is regulated by negative regulators to function appropriately remain unclear. Herein, we provide evidence that CaWRKY40 is repressed by a SALT TOLERANCE HOMOLOG2 in pepper (CaSTH2). Our data from gene silencing and transient overexpression in pepper and epoptoc overexpression in *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants showed that CaSTH2 acted as negative regulator in immunity against RSI and thermotolerance. Our data from BiFC, CoIP, pull down, and MST indicate that CaSTH2 interacted with CaWRKY40, by which CaWRKY40 was prevented from activating immunity or thermotolerance-related genes. It was also found that CaSTH2 repressed CaWRKY40 at least partially through blocking interaction of CaWRKY40 with CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28, but not through directly repressing binding of CaWRKY40 to its target genes. The results of study provide new insight into the mechanisms underlying the coordination of pepper immunity and thermotolerance.

Introduction

Under the selection pressure from various stresses in their nature habitats, plants have evolved defense mechanisms to defend themselves. They generally turn on defense responses by initiating defense signaling, amplifying and transmitting the signaling into the nucleus to activate massive transcriptional reprogramming, thereby activating appropriate defense reactions. Pathogen attack and high temperature stress (HTS) are two important stresses, which occur successively or simultaneously and are frequently encountered by plants in warm seasons in tropical and subtropical regions. These two stresses are distinct in their nature and differently perceived by plants: pathogens are recognized by plants with pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP)-recognition receptors (PRRs) and intracellular R proteins [1–3], and are restrained by antimicrobial compounds, pathogenesis-related proteins or hypersensitive response (HR) [4–8], while HTS is sensed by sensors different from receptors that are used by plants to perceive pathogen infection [9–12], thereby initiating HTS responding signaling and activating plant thermotolerance by enhancing the synthesis of antioxidants, heat shock proteins (HSPs), and scavengers of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [13–15].

Despite these differences, plant immunity and thermotolerance share signaling elements such as Ca²⁺ [16], ROS [16], hormones including salicylic acid (SA), jasmonate (JA) and abscisic acid (ABA) [17, 18], MAPK cascades [19], and transcription factors (TFs) [20–24], suggesting a role for these common components in the coordination of the immunity and thermotolerance of the plant, but the underlying mechanisms currently remain poorly understood.

Given that plant defense responses to both pathogen attack and HTS are mostly regulated at transcriptional level by a number of TFs [25–29], and some TFs are shared by plant response to pathogen attack and to HTS [20–24], these shared TFs might be related to the coordination of plant immunity and thermotolerance. WRKY proteins, which are distinguished by their unique binding to the W- (TTGACC/T) or WT-box and their highly conserved WRKY domain, constitute one of the largest plant transcription factor families [30, 31]. A growing body of research shows that many members of the WRKY family, found in a variety of plant species, including rice and Arabidopsis, have been linked to the control of plant immunity by interacting with hundreds or even thousands of genes related to immunity [26, 27, 32–34];

Received: 24 October 2023; Accepted: 21 February 2024; Published: 2 March 2024; Corrected and Typeset: 1 May 2024

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nanjing Agricultural University. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

some of these WRKY proteins might be targeted by pathogens for their successful infection [35, 36]. WRKY proteins are also involved in plant thermotolerance [20, 24, 37–40], and some of them are shared by plant immunity and thermotolerance [20, 21]. To activate defense response fast and efficiently, these WRKYs operate by forming transcriptional cascades or networks [32], with some WRKY factors that hold central positions being post-translationally regulated by other proteins including WRKY, VQ protein, MAPK, chromatin remodeling proteins, calmodulin, 14-3-3, through protein–protein interaction [41–44]. Deconstructing these networks and revealing the mechanism of action of the key proteins are effective approaches to elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying plant disease resistance.

B-box-containing proteins are characterized their N-terminal tandem repeated B-boxes, a Zn²⁺–ligating domain that has been suggested to be a domain for protein interaction, consisting of conserved Cys and His residues, and there are 32 B-box-containing proteins known to exist in Arabidopsis [45]. SALT TOLERANCE HOMOLOG2 (STH2) has been found to act as activator of HY5 in concert with STH3 to promote its transcription via G-box in regulation of photomorphogenic development [45–47], while B-box zinc finger proteins such as B-box (BBX19), BBX21, or B-BBX32 is involved in photomorphogenesis regulation [48, 49], seed germination [50], or shade avoidance [51]. In addition, STH2 has been proposed to be a PR gene as it has been frequently found to be transcriptionally modified by pathogen infection in plants such as tomato and pepper [52–55], whose expression was reduced by the knockout of SIMYC2 [54], or upregulated by SIWRKY30 and SIWRKY81 that act positively in tomato response to *Ralstonia solanacearum* infection (RSI) [53], indicating the involvement of B-box-containing proteins in plant immunity. However, whether and how these B-box-containing proteins are involved in coordination of plant immunity and thermotolerance remain unclear.

Pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) is a vegetable of great agricultural importance [56], bacterial wilt caused by RSI and HTS are two important stresses in pepper production, in particular in tropical and subtropical regions [56, 57]. We previously found that CaWRKY40 acts positively not only in pepper immunity against RSI but also in defense response to HTS by targeting various immunity or thermotolerance-related genes via W-box in their promoter as well as by autoregulation at transcription level by the DWE, in its own promoter [58]. Upon RSI or HTS exposure, CaWRKY40 forms transcription cascades with TFs such as CaWRKY6, CaWRKY22, CabZIP23, and CabZIP63 [22, 23, 39, 59–61] as well as Ca²⁺ sensor CaCDPK15 [62], and is promoted by CaWRKY27b in pepper immunity against RSI and thermotolerance but by CaWRKY28 only in pepper immunity against RSI via physical interaction [41, 42]. In this way, CaWRKY40 forms positive feedback loops with WRKY TFs or other TFs to rapidly and efficiently activate immunity or thermotolerance upon perception of the stress. However, to function appropriately, some negative regulators might also be required. However, so far no negative regulators of CaWRKY40 have been identified. In the present study, a STH2 in pepper (CaSTH2) was found to act negatively in pepper immunity against RSI and in thermotolerance by repressing CaWRKY40's interaction with CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28.

Results

The expression assay of CaSTH2 upon RSI or HTS

A putative STH2 in pepper (CaSTH2) was originally found to interact probably with CaWRKY40 by pull-down combined

with mass spectrum assay (Table S1, see online supplementary material), as STH2 was previously found to be transcriptionally modified by RSI in tomato and in pepper [53, 63], and CaWRKY40 acts positively in pepper immunity against RSI and thermotolerance [24]; consistently, it was also up-regulated by RSI and HTS (Fig. S1, see online supplementary material). According to our conjectures, CaSTH2 may play a role in thermotolerance and pepper immunity against RSI. To verify the hypothesis, we measured the CaSTH2 transcript level in pepper plant roots that had received an RS inoculation or not, and found that CaSTH2, which exhibits more than 95% sequence similarities to its orthologs in other plant species (Fig. S2A and B, see online supplementary material), was downregulated by RSI at 24 and 48 hpi (Fig. S2C, see online supplementary material). Given that pepper immunity against RSI and thermotolerance are closely related with each other in a way related to CaWRKY40 [24], to test whether CaSTH2 is involved in the coordination of the two defense response, we tested the response of CaSTH2 and found that CaSTH2 was downregulated by HTS (Fig. S2C, see online supplementary material). In addition, by transient overexpression-based subcellular localization assay in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaf epidermal cells, we found that CaSTH2 targets the plasma membrane and nucleus (Fig. S2D, see online supplementary material).

The silencing of CaSTH2 significantly enhanced pepper immunity against RSI and thermotolerance

The transcriptional modification of CaSTH2 by RSI and HTS implies its involvement in pepper immunity against RSI and in thermotolerance. To test this hypothesis, we assayed the function of CaSTH2 by studying the effect of CaSTH2 silencing on pepper immunity and thermotolerance using virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) using two specific CDS regions for vector construction. RT-qPCR was used to verify that the silencing was successful, and found that the transcript level in TRV:CaSTH2 plants was only 10–15% of that in the wild-type plants (Fig. 1A), and TRV:CaSTH2 plants were more tolerant to HTS than the wild-type plants (Fig. 1B), as seen by higher level transcripts of thermotolerance-related CaHSP24 and CaHSP70 [64] (Fig. 1C), and higher level of Fv/Fm and photosystem II (PSII) photochemical efficiency in the light (Φ PSII) as well as lower level of H₂O₂ concentration displayed by DAB staining (Fig. 1D and E). All these data indicate that CaSTH2 acts negatively in pepper tolerance to HTS. To confirm these results, we used another region in the 3' UTR of CaSTH2 to do VIGS experiments (Fig. S3A and B, see online supplementary material), and the result consistently showed that CaSTH2 silencing significantly increased pepper thermotolerance (Fig. S3C, see online supplementary material).

In addition, CaSTH2 was found to act negatively in pepper immunity against RSI, as the TRV:CaSTH2 plants that exhibited significantly lower expression of CaSTH2 upon RSI (Fig. 2A) also exhibited enhanced resistance to RSI at 9 days post inoculation (dpi) (Fig. 2B), displayed by lower dynamic disease index from 2 to 18 dpi (Fig. 2C), lower level of bacterial growth at 48 and 72 hpi (Fig. 2D), as well as upregulation of immunity-related CaPR1, CaPR4, and CaDEF1 [23] in contrast to plants of the wild type (Fig. 2E). We also used another specific region in 5' UTR of CaSTH2 for VIGS vector construction, and found that CaSTH2 silencing line also reduced the pepper susceptibility to RSI (Fig. S3D).




Figure 1. Impact of CaSTH2 silencing on pepper plants' thermotolerance. **(A)** TRV:CaSTH2 pepper plants exhibited much lower level of CaSTH2 transcript with or without HTS treatment than TRV:00 pepper plants. **(B)** TRV:00 pepper plants were more sensitive to HTS than TRV:CaSTH2 pepper plants. **(C)** Higher level of thermotolerance related CaHSP24 and CaHSP70 were found in the TRV:CaSTH2 pepper plants than that in TRV:00 pepper plants. **(D)** TRV:CaSTH2 pepper plants exhibited higher levels of Fv/Fm and actual photochemical efficiency of PSII in the light, which are favorably associated with thermotolerance than the control. **(E)** TRV:CaSTH2 pepper plants upon HTS accumulated much lower level of H₂O₂ displayed by DAB staining than TRV:00 pepper plants. In **A**, **C**, and **D**, the data were normalized with CaActin serving as an internal reference. The results presented are the average \pm SD of four replicates. On the bar graphs, distinct capital letters denote statistically significant differences ($P < 0.01$) between means based on Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test.

The transient expression of CaSTH2 downregulated thermotolerance and immunity-related genes

To further confirm the result from VIGS, we assayed the effect of transient expression of CaSTH2 on thermotolerance in pepper displayed by expression of thermotolerance related genes and Fv/Fm as well as ϕ PSII, which are positively related to thermotolerance. The result showed that in CaSTH2-GFP transiently overexpressing pepper leaves, CaSTH2-GFP expressed successfully by western blotting using antibody of GFP (Fig. S4A, see online supplementary material), and this expression slightly reduced both HTS and RSI (Fig. S4B and C, see online supplementary material), and the transient overexpression of CaSTH2 reduced Fv/Fm and ϕ PSII (Fig. S4D, see online supplementary material), and also downregulated thermotolerance-related genes including CaHSP24, CaHSP70, CaHSFB2a [64] (Fig. S4E, see online supplementary material). Additionally, the genes linked to immunity, such as CaDEF1, CaPR1, and CaPR4 were all upregulated by RSI, but these upregulations were all repressed by CaSTH2 transient overexpression (Fig. S4F, see online supplementary material). All these data support the result from VIGS that CaSTH2 acts negatively in pepper thermotolerance and immunity against RSI.

Overexpression of CaSTH2 significantly reduced thermotolerance and immunity of *N. benthamiana* against RSI

We also functionally characterized CaSTH2 by ectopically expressing it in *N. benthamiana*. CaSTH2 was stably expressed in the two randomly selected T3 lines (Fig. S5, see online supplementary material). The plants of the two lines consis-

tently demonstrated a higher vulnerability to RSI, displayed by higher levels of dynamic disease index from 2 to 14 dpi (Fig. 3B), higher levels of bacterial growth and lower levels of expression of the tested immunity-related genes including NbPR2, NbAOC, and NbLox [65] compared to the wild-type plants (Fig. 3C and D). In addition, the CaSTH2 overexpressing *N. benthamiana* plants exhibited enhanced sensitiveness to HTS (Fig. S6A, see online supplementary material), lower level of Fv/Fm and ϕ PSII and lower level of thermotolerance-related genes including NbASC6, NbHSP18, and NbAPX [66] (Fig. S6B–D). All the data from CaSTH2 ectopically expressing *N. benthamiana* plants support the conclusion that immunity against RSI and pepper thermotolerance are regulated adversely by CaSTH2.

The confirmation of interaction between CaSTH2 and CaWRKY40

To test and confirm the interaction between CaSTH2 and CaWRKY40, we first carried out BiFC to study the possible CaSTH2/CaWRKY40 interaction in planta. CaWRKY40-YFP^N and CaSTH2-YFP^C were produced by fusing the N- and C-terminal sections of YFP to CaWRKY40 and CaSTH2, respectively. In *N. benthamiana* leaves, the fusion proteins' interaction was visible; the result showed that clear YFP signal was observed in the nuclei in epidermal cells of *N. benthamiana* leaves, indicating that CaSTH2 interacts with CaWRKY40 in the nuclei in planta (Fig. 4A). Consistently, CaSTH2 and CaWRKY40 were found to co-localize to the nuclei in epidermal cells of *N. benthamiana* leaves by agrobacterium infiltration-based subcellular localization assay (Fig. 4B). The interaction between CaSTH2 and CaWRKY40 was further confirmed by co-immunoprecipitation (CoIP) assay, Myc




Figure 2. *CaSTH2* silencing enhanced pepper immunity against *Ralstonia solanacearum* infection. (A) *TRV:CaSTH2* pepper plants exhibited much lower level of *CaSTH2* transcript with or without *R. solanacearum* inoculation than *TRV:00* pepper plants by RT-qPCR. (B) *TRV:CaSTH2* pepper plants exhibited increased resistance to *R. solanacearum* inoculation than *TRV:00* plants. (C) *TRV:CaSTH2* pepper plants inoculated by *R. solanacearum* exhibited much lower dynamic disease index (Table S3, see online supplementary material) than *TRV:00* plants from 2 to 18 dpi (days post inoculation), the disease index of 20 plants for each line and wild-type control plants were scored every day. (D) *TRV:CaSTH2* pepper plants inoculated by *R. solanacearum* supported much more bacterial growth than *TRV:00* plants. (E) *TRV:CaSTH2* pepper plants inoculated by *R. solanacearum* exhibited upregulated immunity related PR genes including *CaPR1*, *CaPR4* and *CaDEF1* than *TRV:00* plants. In A, D, and E, the data were normalized with *CaActin* serving as an internal reference. The results presented are the average \pm SD of four replicates. On the bar graphs, distinct capital letters denote statistically significant differences ($P < 0.01$) between means based on Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test.




Figure 3. The overexpression of *CaSTH2-GFP* enhanced susceptibility of *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants to *Ralstonia solanacearum*. (A) The *CaSTH2-GFP* overexpressing *N. benthamiana* plants exhibited enhanced susceptibility to *R. solanacearum* infection at 8 dpi. (B) The *CaSTH2-GFP* overexpressing *N. benthamiana* plants exhibited higher level of dynamic disease index from 2 dpi to 14 dpi, the disease index of 20 plants for each line and wild-type control plants were scored every day. (C) The *CaSTH2-GFP* overexpressing *N. benthamiana* plants supported higher level of bacterial growth than the wild type control plants at 48 and 72 hpi. (D) The *CaSTH2-GFP* overexpressing *N. benthamiana* plants upon *R. solanacearum* inoculation exhibited higher level transcripts of immunity related genes including *NbPR2*, *NbAOC*, and *NbLox* at 48 hpi than the wild type plants. The data were normalized with *CaActin* serving as an internal reference. In C and D, the results presented are the average \pm SD of four replicates. On the bar graphs, distinct capital letters denote statistically significant differences ($P < 0.01$) between means according to Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test.




Figure 4. CaSTH2 interacted with CaWRKY40. **(A)** the data from BiFC using *Agrobacterium* cells bearing CaWRKY40-YFP^N + CaSTH2-YFP^C (using CaWRKY40-YFP^N + YFP^C and YFP^N + CaSTH2-YFP^C as negative controls) constructs were infiltrated into leaves of *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves, and YFP signals were observed in epidermis cell of *N. benthamiana* leaves at 48 hpi. NbH2B (histone H2B)-RFP was used to indicate the nucleus. Bars =25 μm. **(B)** By agroinfiltration based transient overexpression in epidermis cell of *N. benthamiana* leaves, CaSTH2 and CaWRKY40 co-localized to the nuclei of epidermis cell of *N. benthamiana* leaves. Bars =25 μm. **(C)** CaSTH2 interacted with CaWRKY40 *in vitro* by pull-down assay using prokaryotically expressed CaSTH2-GST and CaWRKY40-6 × His, the CaSTH2 and its interacting protein were pulled down by Ni Smart beads and the existence of CaWRKY40 was found by immune blotting using antibody of GST. **(D)** CaSTH2 interacted with CaWRKY40 in CoIP assay using proteins isolated from CaWRKY40-Myc and CaSTH2-GFP co-transiently overexpressing leaves of pepper, and CaWRKY40 and its interacting proteins were immunoprecipitated with antibody of Myc and the presence of CaSTH2 in the isolated proteins was detected by immune blotting using antibody of GFP. **(E)** The interaction between CaSTH2 and CaWRKY40 was assessed using the MST assay, where CaSTH2-GST served as the target protein and CaWRKY40-6 × His was used as the ligand, with concentrations ranging from 1.0E⁻¹⁰ mM to 1.0E⁻³ mM. CaSTH2-GST/EV-6 × His or CaSTH2-GST/CaWRKY40-6 × His mixtures were put into Monolith NT.115 capillaries and subjected to measurements at room temperature with an LED excitation source with λ = 470 nm and 50% IR laser power.

antibody was utilised to immunoprecipitate CaWRKY40 and potential interacting proteins from proteins extracted from CaSTH2-GFP and CaWRKY40-Myc co-transiently overexpressing *N. benthamiana* leaves, and an antibody of GFP was used to detect the presence of CaSTH2 by immunoblotting. The outcome revealed that CaSTH2 interacted with CaWRKY40 *in vivo* (Fig. 4D). With prokaryotic expressed CaSTH2-GST and CaWRKY40-6 × His, the CaSTH2/CaWRKY40 interaction was again validated *in vitro* by pull-down and micro thermophoresis (MST) assay. The results all showed that CaSTH2 interacts with CaWRKY40 *in vitro* (Fig. 4C and E). All the results collectively indicate that CaSTH2 interacts with CaWRKY40.

In vivo, CaSTH2 inhibited CaWRKY40's binding to target gene promoters that contained W-boxes, but not in vitro

The involvement of CaSTH2 and CaWRKY40 in both pepper thermotolerance and in immunity against RSI and their interaction

imply that the role that CaWRKY40 plays might be modified by CaSTH2 via physical interaction. To test this speculation, we first performed electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) using biotin labeled W-box with promoter segments of *CaPR1*, *CaDEF1*, *CaHSP24*, and *CaHSFB2a*, which are targeted by CaWRKY40 [23, 64], and prokaryotic expressed CaSTH2-6 × His and CaWRKY40-6 × His. The result showed that CaWRKY40 bound to all of the tested promoter fragments, and the addition of excess CaSTH2 did not affect these bindings (Fig. S6A and B, see online supplementary material), indicating that CaSTH2 does not affect the targeting of CaWRKY40 to its target genes *in vitro*. We further assayed the effect of CaSTH2 interaction on targeting of CaWRKY40 to its targets in planta upon RSI/HTS by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-qPCR using the chromatin isolated from CaWRKY40-Myc transiently overexpressing TRV: CaSTH2 pepper plants upon RSI/HTS. The result showed that binding of CaWRKY40 to the promoters of its immunity or thermotolerance-related target genes were significantly increased by the silencing of CaSTH2 upon




Figure 5. The activation of immunity or thermotolerance related genes by CaWRKY40 was repressed by CaSTH2. (A) The success of CaWRKY40 transient overexpression and CaSTH2 silencing through virus-induced gene silencing in pepper plants by RT-qPCR assay. (B) Hypersensitive response-like cell death and H_2O_2 accumulation were observed by Trypan blue and 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining. Bars = 500 μ m. CaWRKY40 was transiently overexpressed in WT and CaSTH2-silenced pepper leaves, respectively, and silenced CaSTH2 enhanced hypersensitive response. (C) The activation of immunity related genes including CaPR1 and CaDEF1 by CaWRKY40 was enhanced by CaSTH2 silencing upon RSI at 48 hpi but not upon HTS by RT-qPCR. D. The activation of thermotolerance related CaHSP24 and CaHSP70 by CaWRKY40 was enhanced by CaSTH2 silencing upon HTS at 48 hpi but not upon *Ralstonia solanacearum* inoculation by RT-qPCR. E. The overexpression of CaSTH2 significantly reduced the promoter activity of CaPR1, CaDEF1, CaHSP24, and CaHSFB2a that were enhanced by overexpression of CaWRKY40. *proCaPR1*, *proCaDEF1*, *proCaHSP24*, or *proCaHSFB2a*: luciferase and 35S: CaWRKY40 or 35S: CaWRKY40 + 35S: CaSTH2 were co-infiltrated into the leaves of *Nicotiana benthamiana*. At 48 hpi, the infiltrated leaves were harvested for luciferase imaging, and the relative activity of luciferase was measured. The data in A, C, and D were normalized using CaActin as the internal reference. The average \pm SD of four duplicate results are shown. Based on Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test, discrete capital letters on the bar graphs denote statistically significant differences ($P < 0.01$) between means.

RSI/HTS (Fig. S7C and D, see online supplementary material), indicating that the targeting of CaWRKY40 to its target genes were repressed by CaSTH2 *in vivo* upon RSI/HTS.

In addition, the effect of CaSTH2 silencing on regulation of immunity or thermotolerance-related target genes by CaWRKY40 was also assayed using TRV:CaSTH2 pepper plants (Fig. 5A). Transient overexpression of CaWRKY40 in leaves quickly triggered dense HR-like cell death in CaSTH2-silenced plants, which developed slowly in the control plants (Fig. 5B). The outcome showed that CaWRKY40's transient overexpression increased the levels of immunity-related CaPR1 and CaDEF1 upon RSI but not upon HTS (Fig. 5C), while thermotolerance-related CaHSP24 and CaHSP70 were upregulated by transient overexpression of CaWRKY40 upon HTS but not upon RSI (Fig. 5D), but these upregulations were all enhanced by CaSTH2 silencing. Furthermore, we employed the LUC assay to examine the impact of CaSTH2 on the transcriptional regulation of the examined genes related to thermotolerance and PR. The result showed that all of the tested PR and thermotolerance-related genes were transcriptionally regulated by transient overexpression of CaWRKY40, but all of these upregulations were significantly repressed by the transient overexpression of CaSTH2 (Fig. 5E). These results collectively indicate that CaSTH2 represses CaWRKY40 in its targeting and activating the

immunity/thermotolerance-related genes in a context-specific manner.

The interaction between CaWRKY40 and CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28 was repressed by CaSTH2

By previous studies, CaWRKY40 is promoted to activate PR genes such as CaPR4, CaPR1, CaDEF1, and CaHSP24 by CaWRKY27b and CaWRKY28 [23, 58], and CaWRKY40 is repressed by CaSTH2 to activate the above-mentioned target genes not by repressing the direct targeting of these genes by CaWRKY40; we speculate that CaSTH2 might repress CaWRKY40 by blocking its interaction with CaWRKY27b or CaWRKY28. We used the BiFC assay to verify this assumption, and the results showed that the co-transient overexpression of CaSTH2 significantly reduced the CaWRKY40-CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28 interaction (Fig. 6A), and the data from MST assay using the prokaryotic expressed proteins showed that CaSTH2 exhibited much higher levels of binding affinity to CaWRKY40 than CaWRKY27b and CaWRKY28 (Fig. 6B). In addition, the data from pull-down assays using the prokaryotic expressed proteins showed that the addition of CaSTH2 blocked the binding between CaWRKY40 and CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28 (Fig. 6C). All these data indicate that the




Figure 6. CaWRKY40-CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28 interaction was blocked by CaSTH2. (A) CaWRKY40-CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28 was blocked by co-transient overexpression of CaSTH2, CaWRKY40 and CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28 by BiFC assay in leaves in *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants. (B) CaSTH2 exhibited a significantly higher binding affinity towards CaWRKY40 compared to both CaWRKY27b and CaWRKY28, as determined by the MST assay. In this case, the target proteins were considered as CaSTH2-/CaWRKY27b-/CaWRKY28-6 × His, while the ligand protein used was CaWRKY40-GST. C. The addition of CaSTH2 blocked the interaction between CaWRKY40-CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28 in pull-down assays involving prokaryotically expressed CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28-6 × His, CaSTH2-6 × His, and CaWRKY40-GST.

interaction between CaWRKY40 and CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28 might be repressed by CaSTH2, probably due to its priority in interacting with CaWRKY40.

Discussion

By forming transcriptional cascades with other WRKY TFs or other TFs [22], CaWRKY40 plays a positive role in both thermotolerance and pepper immunity against RSI. Its function is further enhanced by CaWRKY27b in the context of the pepper immune response to RSI and HTS [41], and by CaWRKY28 exclusively in the context of pepper immunity against RSI [42] via physical interaction.

It can be speculated that negative regulators might also be required for CaWRKY40 to function appropriately, but so far, no such negative regulator has been reported. In the present study, we provide evidence that CaSTH2 acted as an interacting partner and a negative regulator of CaWRKY40 during pepper immune response to RSI and thermotolerance, with interaction between CaWRKY40 and CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28 being blocked and thus targeting and activation of immunity or thermotolerance-related genes by CaWRKY40 being repressed.

CaSTH2 has an adverse effect on thermotolerance and pepper immunity to RSI

Like results from previous studies in pepper and in tomato [67], STH2 was firstly found in a RNA-seq data set to be downregulated by RSI, which was further confirmed by the data from

RT-qPCR that STH2 was significantly downregulated from 24 to 48 hpi (Fig. S2C, see online supplementary material). The data that STH2 silencing significantly enhanced pepper thermotolerance and immunity against RSI and the corresponding upregulation of thermotolerance or immunity related genes (Figs 1C and 2E) further confirmed the role of STH2 in pepper immunity against RSI, which was implied by its transcriptional downregulation (Figs 1C and 2E). By contrast, overexpression of CaSTH2 consistently reduced thermotolerance and immunity of pepper and *N. benthamiana* plants to RSI and consistent transcriptional modification of thermotolerance or immunity-related marker genes (Fig. 3E; Fig. S4D, see online supplementary material). So it can be speculated that in the absence of pathogen or HTS, the constitutive expressed STH2 might repress autoimmunity or thermotolerance to reduce fitness cost, when pepper plants are challenged with RSI or HTS, the repressed pepper immunity or thermotolerance might be derepressed by the downregulation of STH2. It is worth pointing out that the result that STH2 was downregulated by RSI is unlike the results from the previous studies that STH2 have been found to upregulated by RSI in tomato [53]. We speculate that the members in the STH2 family have functional divisions in pepper immune response to RSI; to confirm this speculation further investigation is needed. It is worth pointing out that our results indicate that CaSTH2 silencing increased both pepper immunity and thermotolerance; however, by previous studies, plant immunity is generally repressed by HTS [68]. One explanation for this is that, as plant immunity and thermotolerance are two biological processes that are closely related, and a subset

of regulatory proteins is shared in these two processes when plant is challenged by two stresses individually, and these shared players might act positively or negatively in the two processes [22, 39, 41, 42, 69], so the silencing of these proteins might result in increasing plant immunity and thermotolerance. However, upon the challenge of the two stresses simultaneously, plant immunity may be repressed by HTS.

STH2 acts negatively in pepper thermotolerance and immunity against RSI partially through repressing the targeting of defense-related genes by CaWRKY40 via physical interaction

According to earlier research, CaWRKY40 activates PR or HSP genes and forms transcriptional cascades with CaWRKY6 [39], CaWRKY22 [59], CaWRKY30 [60], CabZIP23 [23], and CabZIP63 [22] to form positive feedback loops that act as protective buffers against RSI or thermotolerance. Additionally, CaWRKY40's transcriptional activations of target genes related to immunity or thermotolerance are facilitated by CaWRKY27b [41] or CaWRKY28 [42]. In the present study, like CaWRKY27b and CaWRKY28, our data from BiFC, pull-down, CoIP, and MST assays all showed that CaSTH2 interacted with CaWRKY40 in the nucleus (Fig. 4), and the data from ChIP-qPCR showed that the enrichment of CaWRKY40 to the promoters of immunity or thermotolerance-related genes (Fig. S6C and D, see online supplementary material), and the transcript levels of these targeted genes were thus reduced by transient expression of STH2 (Fig. S4E and F, see online supplementary material), suggesting that the targeting and transcriptional activation of the target genes by CaWRKY40 were repressed by STH2 via physical interaction. Interestingly, this repression is not due to the direct repression of CaWRKY40 binding to the promoters of its target genes by CaSTH2 (Fig. S7A and B, see online supplementary material). Similarly, STH2 was previously found to act as a transcriptional regulator of HY5 to activate the chalcone isomerase gene in a way related to B-boxes in STH2 and G-box element in its target gene [46, 47, 70]. Thus, it can be speculated that STH2 might act as a transcriptional regulator generally in the crosstalk between plant photomorphogenic development and immunity, as photomorphogenesis and immunity can be regulated by shared regulatory factors such as Brassinosteroid (BR) [71], PUB3 [72], COP1 [47, 73, 74], calmodulin 7 [75], and HY5 [76], although this speculation needs to be confirmed in the future.

CaSTH2 disables CaWRKY40 to activate thermotolerance or immunity-related genes by blocking the interaction between CaWRKY40 and CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28

As CaSTH2 alone did not repress CaWRKY40 to bind the promoters of the tested immunity or thermotolerance-related genes *in vitro* (Fig. S7A and B, see online supplementary material), and addition of STH2 significantly repressed the interaction between CaWRKY40 and CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28 (Fig. 6A and C), CaSTH2 exhibited higher binding affinity to CaWRKY40 than that of CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28 (Fig. 6B), it can be concluded that CaSTH2 might prevent CaWRKY40 from activating its target genes related to immunity and thermotolerance by blocking its interaction with CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28, as CaWRKY40 is promoted by these two proteins via physical interaction by our previous studies [41, 42]. This repression might be reduced by the downregulation of STH2 during the early period of RSI; however, at the later stage of RSI, the relatively high level of CaSTH2 might be required for preventing inappropriate activation of defense

responses or for turning off the immune response once the invasion of pathogens has been dealt with [77, 78].

Conclusions

Collectively, our data indicate that CaSTH2 acts negatively in pepper immunity against RSI and thermotolerance by preventing CaWRKY40 from activating immunity and thermotolerance-related genes at least partially through blocking the interaction between CaWRKY40 and CaWRKY27b/CaWRKY28.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

The inbred pepper lines HN42 and *N. benthamiana* were planted in plastic pots with a soil mixture consisting of peat moss, perlite, and roseite, 1:1:1 v/v/v. The pots were then placed in a growth chamber with the following parameters: 28°C temperature, 70% relative humidity, 60–70 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, and a photoperiod of 16 hours light followed by 8 hours dark.

R. solanacearum inoculation and HTS treatment

R. solanacearum inoculation was carried out using the GMI1000 strain for root irrigation or for leaf injection. Roots were slightly mechanically damaged and then irrigated with 20 ml of *R. solanacearum* suspension diluted to 10^8 cfu mL^{-1} ($\text{OD}_{600}=0.8$) with deionised water. Leaf injection was performed by injecting 100 μL of *R. solanacearum* suspension liquid with a concentration of 10^4 cfu mL^{-1} ($\text{OD}_{600}=0.4$) with deionised water into the leaves and through the leaf veins using a disposable syringe. For the treatment of HTS, the plants are placed in a 42°C incubator for 48 hours of cultivation. The plants upon stress treatment are collected at specific time points for experimentation.

Vectors construction

All vectors were constructed using Gateway cloning technology (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Specific primer pairs for target genes were used to amplify full-length open reading frames (ORFs) or 3'UTR fragments (for virus-induced gene silencing) by PCR. After confirming the sequencing results, the amplicons were cloned into the entry vector pDONR-207 through BP reaction and subsequently transferred into destination vectors pEarleyGate-101/102/103 and pDEST-15/17 via LR reaction.

Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) assay

Virus-induced gene silencing was performed following the method of Zhang *et al.* [52], vectors containing TRV1, TRV:00, TRV:CaPDS (positive control for PDS octadhydrolycopene dehydrogenase), and TRV:CaSTH2 were transformed into GV3101 cells. The transformed GV3101 cells were collected by centrifugation and suspended in induction medium at an optical density of $\text{OD}_{600}=0.8$. GV3101 cells carrying TRV:CaSTH2/TRV:CaPDS/TRV:00 were mixed with cells carrying TRV1 at a ratio of 1:1 (v/v). Subsequently, each bacterial solution (100 μL) was infiltrated into cotyledons of pepper plants with three to four leaves. The infiltrated plants were then incubated in darkness at a temperature of 16°C and relative humidity of 70% for 56 hours. The efficiency of gene silencing for each vector was confirmed by qPCR using specific primer pairs when albino phenotypes appeared on leaves of TRV:CaPDS infiltrated pepper seedlings.

Generation of transgenic *N. benthamiana* plants

The CaSTH2 overexpressing *N. benthamiana* plants for its gain-of-function assay were generated following the method of Regner

et al. [79] using leaf discs as explants, for transformation with GV3101 cells containing 35S:CaSTH2-YFP, the T₀ transgenic *N. benthamiana* were screened on 10 mM basta (glyphosate, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and then were validated with specific primer pair by PCR (Table S2, see online supplementary material). The acquired T₀ plants were self-pollinated and their seeds were harvested for each plant individually. In a similar way, the seeds of T₂ lines and T₃ lines were acquired, the gain-of-function assay of CaSTH2 was assayed using plants of homozygous T3 lines.

Agrobacterium-mediated transient expression and subcellular localization assay

GV3101 cells containing specific expression vector such as 35S:CaSTH2-GFP (YFP) (using 35S:GFP (YFP) as a control) were suspended and diluted to OD₆₀₀ = 0.6–0.8, and then injected into the leaves of pepper or *N. benthamiana* plants of 6–8 leaf stage using injection without a needle. For subcellular localization assay, the infiltrated leaves were harvested at 48 hpi and the GFP or YFP signal was observed by LEICA TCS SP8 confocal laser microscope.

Bimolecular fluorescent complimentary (BIFC) assay

Using the freeze–thaw method, vectors of CaPR-STH2-YFPN and CaWRKY40-YFPC were transformed into Agrobacterium GV3101. The transformed GV3101 cells were amplified overnight and adjusted to OD₆₀₀ = 0.6–0.8 using the induction solution (200 mM acetosyringone, 10 mM MES, 10 mM MgCl₂, pH 5.4), and the GV3101 cells containing CaSTH2-YFP^N and CaWRKY40-YFP^C were mixed in a ratio of 1:1. The mixed GV3101 cells solution was placed on a shaker at 100 rpm at room temperature and shaken for 2–3 h. After mixing, *N. benthamiana* with suitable growth state was selected and the whole leaves were injected with the mixed bacterial solution. The tissue sections were observed under confocal microscope (TCS SP8, Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) 48 hours later.

Co-immunoprecipitation (CoIP) assay

CoIP was used in this study to verify CaSTH2-CaWRKY40 interaction *in vivo*, mix *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* containing CaSTH2-GFP and CaWRKY40-Myc in a 1:1 ratio, and then infect the leaves of 6–8 leaf age pepper plants; the total protein was extracted from the infiltrated leaves using the method described by Yang et al. [63]. The CaSTH2 and its possible interacting proteins were immunoprecipitated using monoclonal antibody-GFP magnetic beads (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) following the instruction by Sigma-Aldrich, and existence of CaSTH2 and CaWRKY40 was verified by western blotting using the GFP antibody and the MYC-tagged antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), respectively.

Pull-down

Pull-down was used in this study to verify CaSTH2-CaWRKY40 interaction *in vitro* using the method of our previous studies [41, 80]. CaSTH2-GST and CaWRKY40-6 × His were prokaryotically expressed in and isolated from *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) strain BL21, which were mixed with BeaverBeads IDA-Nickel (Beaver Biosciences, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China) and incubated at 4°C for 3 hours, the beads collected, washed three times with wash buffer, and then elution buffer was used to elute the protein on the beads. In SDS-PAGE eluted proteins were separated and finally analysed by Western Bolt using GST and His antibodies (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA).

Microscale thermophoresis (MST) assay

The MST technique was utilized to validate the interaction between CaSTH2 and CaWRKY40 *in vitro*, following a previously described [81] method. The fluorescent label was attached to prokaryotically expressed CaWRKY40-6 × His, while the non-fluorescent label was attached to CaSTH2-GST, as outlined by Huang et al. [80]. Various concentrations of CaSTH2-GST ranging from 1.0E-10 mM to 1.0E-3 mM were mixed with 20 mM of the labeled protein in an interaction buffer and incubated for 10 minutes. Subsequently, using an LED excitation source with λ = 470 nm, the samples were loaded into Monolith NT.115 Capillaries (Cat#MO-K002, NanoTemper Technologies, Munich, Germany) at room temperature and exposed to a 50% IR laser power. The data obtained were analysed using Nano Temper Analysis software version 1.2.20 to determine apparent K_d values [82, 83].

Histochemical staining

DAB staining is used for hydrogen peroxide staining and the degree of hydrogen peroxide accumulation is indicated by the colour shade. Trypan blue staining was used to determine the degree of cell necrosis in the leaves, as described previously [39].

Western blotting assay

Western blotting detects target proteins. The protein sample was mixed with the protein loading buffer at a volume of 4:1 and denatured at 95 degrees Celsius for 10 minutes. Due to the different size of the protein, it is separated in SDS-PAGE gel. Then, the protein was transferred from the gel to the PVDF membrane (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at a constant current of 180 mA for 40 minutes using the semi-dry method (Bio-Rad), and the PVDF membrane was put into the blocking liquid and shaken at room temperature for 1 h. Subsequently, the membrane was incubated in 1:5000 diluted primary antibody (Abmart, Shanghai, China) at room temperature for 1 h. TBST buffer (TBS + 5% Tween 20) was then used to clean the membrane three times, each time for 5 minutes. Then the membrane was incubated in 1:20000 diluted secondary antibody at room temperature for 1 h, and the above operation was repeated three times with TBST buffer cleaning. Finally, the membrane was immersed in well-mixed ELC chemiluminescent solutions A and B (A: B = 1:1) for exactly one minute before capturing the image.

RNA extraction and RT-qPCR assay

Total RNA extraction from pepper or *N. benthamiana* plants was performed using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Canada). The extracted RNA concentration was standardized and cDNA templates were synthesized utilizing reverse transcriptase (TaKaRa, Tokyo, Japan). Relative transcript expression levels of target genes were detected using SYBR Premix Ex Taq on a Bio-Rad real-time polymerase chain reaction system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). Specific primer pairs are listed in Table S2 (see online supplementary material). To normalize transcript expression levels during data analysis according to the Livak method [84], internal reference gene CaActin was employed.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay

ChIP assay was used to study the enrichment of CaWRKY40 in the promoters of its target genes following the method as previously described [85]. Briefly, GV3101 cells containing 35S:CaWRKY40-GFP or 35S:GFP were infiltrated into pepper leaves of TRV:CaSTH2 or TRV:00 plants upon RSI or HTS. Chromatin was

extracted from leaves infected with *Agrobacterium* at 48 hpi and randomly broken into fragments of 300–500 bp using sonication. The fragment mixture was incubated with magnetic beads fused with GFP antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for more than 2 hpi, then the DNA was collected from the magnetic beads and purified. Before confirmation by PCR and qPCR, the DNA fragments were further used in the ChIP-qPCR assay.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays

EMSA follows the previous method [58]. The probe was labeled with Cy5 dye (500 nM) and used for EMSA analysis together with CaWRKY40-6 × His and CaSTH2-GST fusion proteins. A total of 0.5 μg of fusion protein was mixed with the probe and incubated at room temperature for half an hour, then the above mixture in PAGE gel was separated and scanned with the Odyssey CLX imaging system (LI-COR).

Luciferase assays

Promoter fragments of CaPR1, CaDEF1, CaHSFB2a, or CaHSP24 were inserted in front of the luciferase expression sequence in reporter vector pGreenII0800-LUC. CaWRKY40 and CaSTH2 were constructed into the expression vector pGreenII62-SK, and the above plasmids were transformed into *Agrobacterium* GV3101. *A. tumefaciens* containing *proCaPR1*-pGreenII0800-LUC, *proCaDEF1*-pGreenII0800-LUC, *proCaHSP24*-pGreenII0800-LUC or *proCaHSFB2a*-pGreenII0800-LUC, and pGreenII62-SK were mixed in 1:1 volume to co-infect *N. benthamiana* as negative control. *A. tumefaciens* containing *proCaPR1*-pGreenII0800-LUC, *proCaDEF1*-pGreenII0800-LUC, *proCaHSP24*-pGreenII0800-LUC or *proCaHSFB2a*-pGreenII0800-LUC and CaWRKY40-pGreenII62-SK were mixed in 1:1 volume to co-infect *N. benthamiana* as positive control. Then the positive control was mixed with the same volume of CaSTH2-pGreenII62-SK *agrobacterium* as the experimental group. Forty-eight hours after infection with *N. benthamiana*, fluorescein (1 mM) was applied to the leaves for CDD (charge coupled device, Nightshade LB985) imaging.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mark D. Curtis for kindly providing the Gateway destination vectors, Dr S. P. Dinesh-Kumar of Yale University for the pTRV1 and pTRV2 vectors. This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31902032, 31572136, 31372061) and Development Fund Project of Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University (CXZX2016158, CXZX2017548).

Author contributions

S.H. and Xin.C. designed the research. M.W., Q.L., J.L., Y.S., and X.H. performed the experiments. S.Y., Y.Z., Y.H., R.W., and D.G. analysed the data. S.H. wrote the manuscript. All the authors of this paper read and approved the final manuscript.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the Supporting Information.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data is available at *Horticulture Research* online.

References

- Jones JD, Dangl JL. The plant immune system. *Nature*. 2006;**444**:323–9
- Zhai K, Liang D, Li H. et al. NLRs guard metabolism to coordinate pattern- and effector-triggered immunity. *Nature*. 2022;**601**:245–51
- Yuan M, Jiang Z, Bi G. et al. Pattern-recognition receptors are required for NLR-mediated plant immunity. *Nature*. 2021;**592**:105–9
- Jabs T, Tschope M, Colling C. et al. Elicitor-stimulated ion fluxes and O₂⁻ from the oxidative burst are essential components in triggering defense gene activation and phytoalexin synthesis in parsley. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1997;**94**:4800–5
- Breen S, Williams SJ, Outram M. et al. Emerging insights into the functions of pathogenesis-related protein 1. *Trends Plant Sci*. 2017;**22**:871–9
- Dangl J. Innate immunity. Plants just say NO to pathogens. *Nature*. 1998;**394**:525–7
- Delledonne M, Xia Y, Dixon RA. et al. Nitric oxide functions as a signal in plant disease resistance. *Nature*. 1998;**394**:585–8
- Delledonne M, Zeier J, Marocco A. et al. Signal interactions between nitric oxide and reactive oxygen intermediates in the plant hypersensitive disease resistance response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2001;**98**:13454–9
- Srivastava R, Deng Y, Howell SH. Stress sensing in plants by an ER stress sensor/transducer, bZIP28. *Front Plant Sci*. 2014;**5**:59
- Song J, Liu Q, Hu B. et al. Photoreceptor PhyB involved in *Arabidopsis* temperature perception and heat-tolerance formation. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2017;**18**:1194
- Hayes S, Schachtschabel J, Mishkind M. et al. Hot topic: Thermosensing in plants. *Plant Cell Environ*. 2021;**44**:2018–33
- Mittler R, Finka A, Goloubinoff P. How do plants feel the heat? *Trends Biochem Sci*. 2012;**37**:118–25
- Kotak S, Larkindale J, Lee U. et al. Complexity of the heat stress response in plants. *Curr Opin Plant Biol*. 2007;**10**:310–6
- Chen HH, Chu P, Zhou YL. et al. Ectopic expression of NnPER1, a *Nelumbo nucifera* 1-cysteine peroxiredoxin antioxidant, enhances seed longevity and stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J*. 2016;**88**:608–19
- Yu W, Wang L, Zhao R. et al. Knockout of SIMAPK3 enhances tolerance to heat stress involving ROS homeostasis in tomato plants. *BMC Plant Biol*. 2019;**19**:354
- Katano K, Honda K, Suzuki N. Integration between ROS regulatory systems and other signals in the regulation of various types of heat responses in plants. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2018;**19**:3370
- Clarke SM, Cristescu SM, Miersch O. et al. Jasmonates act with salicylic acid to confer basal thermotolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *New Phytol*. 2009;**182**:175–87
- Liu S, Kracher B, Ziegler J. et al. Negative regulation of ABA signaling by WRKY33 is critical for *Arabidopsis* immunity towards *Botrytis cinerea* 2100. *elife*. 2015;**4**:e07295
- Chen X, Wang W, Cai P. et al. The role of the MAP kinase?kinase protein StMKK1 in potato immunity to different pathogens. *Hortic Res*. 2021;**8**:117
- Li S, Fu Q, Huang W. et al. Functional analysis of an *Arabidopsis* transcription factor WRKY25 in heat stress. *Plant Cell Rep*. 2009;**28**:683–93

21. Zheng Z, Mosher SL, Fan B. et al. Functional analysis of Arabidopsis WRKY25 transcription factor in plant defense against *Pseudomonas syringae*. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2007;**7**:2
22. Shen L, Liu Z, Yang S. et al. Pepper CabZIP63 acts as a positive regulator during *Ralstonia solanacearum* or high temperature-high humidity challenge in a positive feedback loop with CaWRKY40. *J Exp Bot.* 2016;**67**:2439–51
23. Lu Q, Huang Y, Wang H. et al. CabZIP23 integrates in CabZIP63-CaWRKY40 cascade and turns CabZIP63 on mounting pepper immunity against *Ralstonia solanacearum* via physical interaction. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2022;**23**:2656
24. Dang FF, Wang YN, Yu L. et al. CaWRKY40, a WRKY protein of pepper, plays an important role in the regulation of tolerance to heat stress and resistance to *Ralstonia solanacearum* infection. *Plant Cell Environ.* 2013;**36**:757–74
25. Obermeyer S, Stöckl R, Schnekenburger T. et al. TFIIIS is crucial during early transcript elongation for transcriptional reprogramming in response to heat stress. *J Mol Biol.* 2023;**435**:167917
26. Birkenbihl RP, Liu S, Somssich IE. Transcriptional events defining plant immune responses. *Curr Opin Plant Biol.* 2017;**38**:1–9
27. Birkenbihl RP, Kracher B, Roccaro M. et al. Induced genome-wide binding of three Arabidopsis WRKY transcription factors during early MAMP-triggered immunity. *Plant Cell.* 2017;**29**:20–38
28. Buscaill P, Rivas S. Transcriptional control of plant defence responses. *Curr Opin Plant Biol.* 2014;**20**:35–46
29. Moore JW, Loake GJ, Spoel SH. Transcription dynamics in plant immunity. *Plant Cell.* 2011;**23**:2809–20
30. Eulgem T, Rushton PJ, Robatzek S. et al. The WRKY superfamily of plant transcription factors. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2000;**5**:199–206
31. Kanofsky K, Strauch CJ, Sandmann A. et al. Transcription factors involved in basal immunity in mammals and plants interact with the same MAMP-responsive cis-sequence from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Mol Biol.* 2018;**98**:565–78
32. Eulgem T, Somssich IE. Networks of WRKY transcription factors in defense signaling. *Curr Opin Plant Biol.* 2007;**10**:366–71
33. Zhang Y, Tang M, Huang M. et al. Dynamic enhancer transcription associates with reprogramming of immune genes during pattern triggered immunity in Arabidopsis. *BMC Biol.* 2022;**20**:165
34. Pandey SP, Somssich IE. The role of WRKY transcription factors in plant immunity. *Plant Physiol.* 2009;**150**:1648–55
35. Sarris PF, Duxbury Z, Huh SU. et al. A plant immune receptor detects pathogen effectors that target WRKY transcription factors. *Cell.* 2015;**161**:1089–100
36. Le Roux C, Huet G, Jauneau A. et al. A receptor pair with an integrated decoy converts pathogen disabling of transcription factors to immunity. *Cell.* 2015;**161**:1074–88
37. Li S, Zhou X, Chen L. et al. Functional characterization of *Arabidopsis thaliana* WRKY39 in heat stress. *Mol Cells.* 2010;**29**:475–84
38. Li S, Fu Q, Chen L. et al. *Arabidopsis thaliana* WRKY25, WRKY26, and WRKY33 coordinate induction of plant thermotolerance. *Planta.* 2011;**233**:1237–52
39. Cai H, Yang S, Yan Y. et al. CaWRKY6 transcriptionally activates CaWRKY40, regulates *Ralstonia solanacearum* resistance, and confers high-temperature and high-humidity tolerance in pepper. *J Exp Bot.* 2015;**66**:3163–74
40. Wang CT, Ru JN, Liu YW. et al. Maize WRKY transcription factor ZmWRKY106 confers drought and heat tolerance in transgenic plants. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2018;**19**:3046
41. Yang S, Cai W, Shen L. et al. A CaCDPK29-CaWRKY27b module promotes CaWRKY40-mediated thermotolerance and immunity to *Ralstonia solanacearum* in pepper. *New Phytol.* 2022;**233**:1843–63
42. Yang S, Zhang Y, Cai W. et al. CaWRKY28 Cys249 is required for interaction with CaWRKY40 in the regulation of pepper immunity to *Ralstonia solanacearum*. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact.* 2021;**34**:733–45
43. Chakraborty J, Ghosh P, Sen S. et al. CaMPK9 increases the stability of CaWRKY40 transcription factor which triggers defense response in chickpea upon *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. ciceri Race1 infection. *Plant Mol Biol.* 2019;**100**:411–31
44. Chi Y, Yang Y, Zhou Y. et al. Protein-protein interactions in the regulation of WRKY transcription factors. *Mol Plant.* 2013;**6**:287–300
45. Datta S, Johansson H, Hettiarachchi C. et al. STH2 has 2 B there: An insight into the role of B-box containing proteins in Arabidopsis. *Plant Signal Behav.* 2008;**3**:547–8
46. Datta S, Hettiarachchi C, Johansson H. et al. SALT TOLERANCE HOMOLOG2, a B-box protein in Arabidopsis that activates transcription and positively regulates light-mediated development. *Plant Cell.* 2007;**19**:3242–55
47. Datta S, Johansson H, Hettiarachchi C. et al. LZP1/SALT TOLERANCE HOMOLOG3, an Arabidopsis B-box protein involved in light-dependent development and gene expression, undergoes COP1-mediated ubiquitination. *Plant Cell.* 2008;**20**:2324–38
48. Holtan HE, Bandong S, Marion CM. et al. BBX32, an Arabidopsis B-Box protein, functions in light signaling by suppressing HYS-regulated gene expression and interacting with STH2/BBX21. *Plant Physiol.* 2011;**156**:2109–23
49. Xu D, Jiang Y, Li J. et al. The B-Box domain protein BBX21 promotes photomorphogenesis. *Plant Physiol.* 2018;**176**:2365–75
50. Bai M, Sun J, Liu J. et al. The B-box protein BBX19 suppresses seed germination via induction of ABI5. *Plant J.* 2019;**99**:1192–202
51. Crocco CD, Holm M, Yanovsky MJ. et al. AtBBX21 and COP1 genetically interact in the regulation of shade avoidance. *Plant J.* 2010;**64**:551–62
52. Zhang Y, Cai W, Wang A. et al. MADS-box protein AGL8 interacts with chromatin-remodelling component SWC4 to activate thermotolerance and environment-dependent immunity in pepper. *J Exp Bot.* 2023;**74**:3667–83
53. Dang F, Lin J, Li Y. et al. SlWRKY30 and SlWRKY81 synergistically modulate tomato immunity to *Ralstonia solanacearum* by directly regulating SlPR-STH2. *Hortic Res.* 2023;**10**:uhad050
54. Shu P, Li Z, Min D. et al. CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated SLMYC2 mutagenesis adverse to tomato plant growth and MeJA-induced fruit resistance to *Botrytis cinerea*. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2020;**68**:5529–38
55. Liu Y, Yu Y, Fei S. et al. Overexpression of Sly-miR398b compromises disease resistance against *Botrytis cinerea* through regulating ROS homeostasis and JA-related defense genes in tomato. *Plants (Basel).* 2023;**12**:2572
56. Parisi M, Alioto D, Tripodi P. Overview of biotic stresses in pepper (*Capsicum* spp.): sources of genetic resistance, molecular breeding and genomics. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020;**21**:2587
57. Aloni B, Peet M, Pharr M. et al. The effect of high temperature and high atmospheric CO₂ on carbohydrate changes in bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) pollen in relation to its germination. *Physiol Plant.* 2001;**112**:505–12
58. Liu ZQ, Shi LP, Yang S. et al. A conserved double-W box in the promoter of CaWRKY40 mediates autoregulation during response to pathogen attack and heat stress in pepper. *Mol Plant Pathol.* 2021;**22**:3–18
59. Hussain A, Li X, Weng Y. et al. CaWRKY22 acts as a positive regulator in pepper response to *Ralstonia solanacearum* by constituting networks with CaWRKY6, CaWRKY27, CaWRKY40, and CaWRKY58. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2018;**19**:1426
60. Hussain A, Khan MI, Albaqami M. et al. CaWRKY30 positively regulates pepper immunity by targeting CaWRKY40 against

- Ralstonia solanacearum* inoculation through modulating defense-related genes. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2021;**22**:12091
61. Ifnan Khan M, Zhang Y, Liu Z. et al. CaWRKY40b in pepper acts as a negative regulator in response to *Ralstonia solanacearum* by directly modulating defense genes including CaWRKY40. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2018;**19**:1403
 62. Shen L, Yang S, Yang T. et al. CaCDPK15 positively regulates pepper responses to *Ralstonia solanacearum* inoculation and forms a positive-feedback loop with CaWRKY40 to amplify defense signaling. *Sci Rep.* 2016;**6**:22439
 63. Yang S, Cai W, Shen L. et al. Solanaceous plants switch to cytokinin-mediated immunity against *Ralstonia solanacearum* under high temperature and high humidity. *Plant Cell Environ.* 2022;**45**:459–78
 64. Ashraf MF, Yang S, Wu R. et al. Capsicum annum HsfB2a positively regulates the response to *Ralstonia solanacearum* infection or high temperature and high humidity forming transcriptional cascade with CaWRKY6 and CaWRKY40. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2018;**59**:2608–23
 65. Han K, Huang H, Zheng H. et al. Rice stripe virus coat protein induces the accumulation of jasmonic acid, activating plant defence against the virus while also attracting its vector to feed. *Mol Plant Pathol.* 2020;**21**:1647–53
 66. Cai W, Yang S, Wu R. et al. Pepper NAC-type transcription factor NAC2c balances the trade-off between growth and defense responses. *Plant Physiol.* 2021;**186**:2169–89
 67. Matton D, Brisson N. Cloning, expression, and sequence conservation of pathogenesis-related gene transcripts of potato. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact.* 1989;**2**:325–31
 68. Saijo Y, Loo E. Plant immunity in signal integration between biotic and abiotic stress responses. *New Phytol.* 2020;**225**:87–104
 69. Yang S, Cai W, Wu R. et al. Differential CaKAN3-CaHSF8 associations underlie distinct immune and heat responses under high temperature and high humidity conditions. *Nat Commun.* 2023;**14**:4477
 70. Jing Y, Guo Q, Lin R. The SNL-HDA19 histone deacetylase complex antagonizes HY5 activity to repress photomorphogenesis in *Arabidopsis*. *New Phytol.* 2021;**229**:3221–36
 71. Wang ZY, Bai MY, Oh E. et al. Brassinosteroid signaling network and regulation of photomorphogenesis. *Annu Rev Genet.* 2012;**46**:701–24
 72. Li W, Dai L, Wang GL. PUB13, a U-box/ARM E3 ligase, regulates plant defense, cell death, and flowering time. *Plant Signal Behav.* 2012;**7**:898–900
 73. Lim GH, Hoey T, Zhu S. et al. COP1, a negative regulator of photomorphogenesis, positively regulates plant disease resistance via double-stranded RNA binding proteins. *PLoS Pathog.* 2018;**14**:e1006894
 74. Xu D. COP1 and BBXs-HY5-mediated light signal transduction in plants. *New Phytol.* 2020;**228**:1748–53
 75. Basu R, Dutta S, Pal A. et al. Calmodulin7: recent insights into emerging roles in plant development and stress. *Plant Mol Biol.* 2021;**107**:1–20
 76. Chen S, Ma T, Song S. et al. Arabidopsis downy mildew effector HaRxLL470 suppresses plant immunity by attenuating the DNA-binding activity of bZIP transcription factor HY5. *New Phytol.* 2021;**230**:1562–77
 77. Oh SK, Baek KH, Park JM. et al. Capsicum annum WRKY protein CaWRKY1 is a negative regulator of pathogen defense. *New Phytol.* 2008;**177**:977–89
 78. Wang D, Amornsiripanitch N, Dong X. A genomic approach to identify regulatory nodes in the transcriptional network of systemic acquired resistance in plants. *PLoS Pathog.* 2006;**2**:e123
 79. Regner F, da Câmara Machado A, da Câmara Machado ML. et al. Coat protein mediated resistance to Plum Pox Virus in *Nicotiana clevelandii* and *N. benthamiana*. *Plant Cell Rep.* 1992;**11**:30–3
 80. Huang J, Shen L, Yang S. et al. CaASR1 promotes salicylic acid-but represses jasmonic acid-dependent signaling to enhance the resistance of *Capsicum annum* to bacterial wilt by modulating CabZIP63. *J Exp Bot.* 2020;**71**:6538–54
 81. Harazi A, Becker-Cohen M, Zer H. et al. The interaction of UDP-N-acetylglucosamine 2-epimerase/N-acetylmannosamine kinase (GNE) and alpha-actinin 2 is altered in GNE myopathy M743T mutant. *Mol Neurobiol.* 2017;**54**:2928–38
 82. Zillner K, Jerabek-Willemsen M, Duhr S. et al. Microscale thermophoresis as a sensitive method to quantify protein: nucleic acid interactions in solution. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2012;**815**:241–52
 83. Papageorgiou AC, Adam PS, Stavros P. et al. HU histone-like DNA-binding protein from *Thermus thermophilus*: structural and evolutionary analyses. *Extremophiles.* 2016;**20**:695–709
 84. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2– $\Delta\Delta$ CT method. *Methods.* 2001;**25**:402–8
 85. Qiu A, Lei Y, Yang S. et al. CaC3H14 encoding a tandem CCCH zinc finger protein is directly targeted by CaWRKY40 and positively regulates the response of pepper to inoculation by *Ralstonia solanacearum*. *Mol Plant Pathol.* 2018;**19**:2221–35