scientific reports

OPEN



Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for fibromyalgia-like syndrome in patients with Long-COVID: a pilot randomized clinical trial

Alejandro Zulbaran-Rojas¹, Rasha O. Bara¹, Myeounggon Lee^{1,2}, Miguel Bargas-Ochoa¹, Tina Phan¹, Manuel Pacheco¹, Areli Flores Camargo¹, Syed Murtaza Kazmi¹, Mohammad Dehghan Rouzi^{1,2}, Dipaben Modi³, Fidaa Shaib³ & Bijan Najafi^{1,2}

This study investigated the effect of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) for fibromyalgia-like symptoms including chronic widespread musculoskeletal pain, fatigue, and/or gait impairment in twenty-five individuals with long-COVID. Participants were randomized to a high dose (intervention group, IG) or low dose (placebo group, PG) TENS device. Both groups received daily 3–5 h of TENS therapy for 4-weeks. The Brief Pain Inventory assessed functional interference from pain (BPI-I), and pain severity (BPI-S). The global fatigue index (GFI) assessed functional interference from fatigue. Wearable technology measured gait parameters during three 30-feet consecutive walking tasks. At 4-weeks, the IG exhibited a greater decrease in BPI-I compared to the PG (mean difference = 2.61, p = 0.008), and improved in gait parameters including stride time (4-8%, test condition dependent), cadence (4-10%, depending on condition), and double-support phase (12% in dual-task) when compared to baseline. A sub-group meeting the 2010 American College of Rheumatology Fibromyalgia diagnostic criteria undergoing high-dose TENS showed GFI improvement at 4-weeks from baseline (mean change = 6.08, p = 0.005). Daily TENS therapy showed potential in reducing functional interference from pain, fatigue, and gait alterations in long-COVID individuals. The study's limited power could affect the confirmation of certain observations. Extending the intervention period may improve treatment effectiveness.

Post-Acute Sequelae of Sars-Cov-2 or long-COVID is a multisystemic condition characterized by persistent symptoms in one or more organs after acute COVID-19 clearance¹. Approximately 1 in 13 adults recovering from COVID-19 experience long-COVID², with the musculoskeletal system commonly affected³. Persistent symptoms include long-lasting widespread pain, fatigue and weakness varying in frequency, duration, and intensity^{4,5}, which can lead to functional interference affecting gait and daily activities^{6–8}.

The mechanism of musculoskeletal damage in long-COVID remains unknown, and whether widespread pain is associated with the nervous system is uncertain. Currently, there are no diagnostic criteria for long-COVID, but some symptoms resemble those of fibromyalgia (FM), a chronic disorder characterized by widespread musculoskeletal pain, accompanied with consequent fatigue, cognitive and other somatic symptoms^{9,10}. FM is listed by the CDC as one of the conditions sharing symptoms with long-COVID¹¹ and recent studies showed that 30–40% of individuals with long-COVID meet the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) FM diagnostic criteria^{12,13}.

FM's underlying cause has not been proven, but it is hypothesized to involve central sensitization, possibly attributed to neurochemical imbalances and dysregulated immune responses triggered by numerous factors, including viral infections^{14–16}. Some researchers postulate that Sars-Cov-2 may be associated with persistent neuroinflammation and microglial overactivation^{17–19}. This reaction may impair the brain connectivity between

¹Michael E DeBakey Department of Surgery, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA. ²Center for Advanced Surgical & Interventional Technology (CASIT), Department of Surgery, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, 700 Westwood Plaza, Suite 2200, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA. ³Department of Pulmonary Critical Care, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA. ^{Sem}email: najafi.bijan@gmail.com

pain-processing regions and sensorimotor areas, leading to reduced functional connectivity and alterations of both white and grey matter^{20–23}.

The unknown origins and atypical pain patterns associated with FM in long-COVID individuals make its approach challenging¹³. Current therapies, including anti-inflammatory²⁴ and central pain-targeted agents have shown limited efficacy²⁵, with few drug-based randomized clinical trial (RCTs) demonstrating pain relief in individuals with long-COVID and FM²⁶. This highlights the need for effective therapies in this population.

Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) is a supportive intervention believed to alleviate pain by stimulating peripheral nerves and modulating central pain processing^{27,28}, reaching the CNS through a sequenced stimulation of non-painful 1st -order neurons (Alpha-beta fibers) leading to the activation of pain inhibitory interneurons in the dorsal horn (referred as "nerve gate")²⁹. This hypothesized effect blocks pain signals between painful 1st -order neurons (A-delta and C-fibers) and 2nd -order neurons (spinothalamic tract)^{30,31}. A recent meta-analysis encasing 11 randomized controlled trials (RCT) involving patients with FM undergoing TENS therapy reported a large mean reduction in pain influenced by higher number of sessions, frequency, and intensity³². However, this technology's effect on pain has not been explored in individuals with long-COVID developing FM-like symptoms.

This pilot study investigated wearable TENS therapy as a potential treatment for FM-like symptoms in individuals with long-COVID. We hypothesize that 4-weeks of daily home-based wearable TENS therapy will reduce pain and fatigue interference with functional outcomes, and gait alterations among this population. In addition, we anticipate this regimen will be feasible and acceptable.

Methods

Study population

A pilot RCT of individuals with long-COVID was conducted between April 2022 and August 2023. Participants were referred by a pulmonologist and critical care specialist from the post-COVID-19 Care Clinic or Internal Medicine Clinic at Baylor College of Medicine (Houston, TX), or self-referred by meeting the criteria in our public ClinicalTrials.gov registered protocol (Identifier: NCT05200858, 01/21/2022). All participants signed an informed consent approved by the local Institutional Review Board (IRB number: H-50753) before study enrollment. The study followed the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) guidelines for RCTs. The methods used were in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations, and the Helsinki Declaration.

Inclusion criteria were: 18–64 years old; reported persistent musculoskeletal pain, fatigue, and/or weakness in one or more body sections that were not present before acute COVID-19 infection; had access to a personal smartphone and willing to install a smartphone application; and able to attend in-person visits. Exclusion criteria were patients with demand-type cardiac pacemaker; implanted defibrillator; major lower extremity wounds; and previous neuromuscular diseases (i.e., Guillan-Barre, Myasthenia Gravis, multiple sclerosis) or hearing weakness.

Baseline demographics, comorbidities, and current long-COVID symptoms were gathered from participants' medical records. Neurovascular baseline characteristics, including bilateral sural nerve conduction velocity and amplitude, and plantar tissue oxygenation (SatO2), were assessed using the DPNCheck (NeuroMetrix Inc., MA, US) and SnapShot NIRS (Kent Imaging, Al, CAN) devices, respectively.

Randomization, group allocation, and intervention

Participants were randomized (ratio: 1:1) to intervention (IG) and placebo (PG) groups through a computergenerated list followed by sequential allocation. Participants and care providers were blinded to the group allocation. Investigators collecting and analyzing data were not blinded. The IG received high-dose (1-hour) TENS therapy utilizing an FDA-cleared wearable device (Quell, NeuroMetrix Inc., MA, US). The device was unilaterally attached around the patients' upper calf via four hydrogel pads containing an electrode array, secured to a stretchable band strap (Fig. 1). The device consists of a one-channel electrical stimulator that communicates with a smartphone application through Bluetooth. The PG was provided with an identical device that elicited 10% dose (6-minutes per hour) of TENS therapy.

Participants self-administered therapy daily, each session lasting 1 h. They were instructed to complete 3–5 sessions per day, alternating device placement contralaterally weekly. After the initial 4 weeks, participants were unblinded, with the IG continuing TENS therapy for an additional 4 weeks (total of 8 weeks), while the PG switched to a high-dose TENS device for the subsequent 4 weeks. Weekly support phone calls addressed device-related queries. No lifestyle/dietary modifications to pain medication were enforced during the study.

Device and smartphone app characteristics

The system around the upper calf provides a total stimulation surface area of 60 cm², stimulating sensory dermatomes S2 through L4, commonly targeted for lower body pain³³. The stimulator generates bipolar, current-regulated pulses with a duration of 290 microseconds and alternating leading phase polarity. Stimulation frequency uniform distribution between 60 and 100 Hz³⁴. These device's characteristics were proven safe in a recent study of patients with FM³⁵. The mobile application serves as a remote control for stimulator functions.

Procedures and measurements

Participants attended three in-person visits at our facility during regular work hours. Assessments for both groups occurred at baseline, 4 weeks, and 8 weeks. At each visit, pain, fatigue, and gait were evaluated.



Fig. 1. Transcutaneous nerve electrical stimulation device set up and placement. (A) TENS device located in the band-strap, (B) Hydrogel pad attached inside band-strap, (C) Hydrogel pad connected to the device located inside the band-strap, (D) Band-strap (containing the device and hydrogel) attached around the upper-calf and paired to a smartphone via Bluetooth.

Outcomes

The primary outcome included functional interference from pain, assessed via the Brief Pain Index questionnaire interference composite score (BPI-I)³⁶. Secondary outcomes included: (1) pain severity, assessed via the BPI questionnaire severity composite score (BPI-S)³⁶; (2) fatigue, via the multi-dimensional assessment of fatigue

(MAF) questionnaire, and calculating the Global Fatigue Index (GFI)^{37,38}; and (3) gait measured using five inertial measurement units (IMUs, LEGSys BioSensics, MA, USA) on participants' ankles, thighs, and waist. Parameters included stride time³⁹, cadence⁴⁰, and double-support phase⁴¹, and were measured during three consecutive tasks: (1) simple-task (30-feet walk at normal pace); (2) dual-task (30-feet walk at normal pace while counting aloud backwards 2); and (3) fast-walking-task (30-feet walk at a faster pace without jogging/ running)⁴². In case of marked fatigue during the walking tasks, patients completed questionnaires via RedCap at home.

Feasibility metrics

Compliance to the TENS device was linked to a cloud system. The median therapy sessions per day and the median days of device use were quantified. Completers were those adherent to the TENS device for ≥ 3 sessions per day. High compliance was set to 70%⁴³ of the maximum possible number of sessions per day (3.5 out of 5) and days in 4-weeks (21 out of 30). Adverse events and therapy discontinuation were reported.

Acceptability to the TENS device was assessed using a Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) questionnaire⁴⁴ (Supplemental Table 1), that measured: perceived usefulness (PU), perceived ease of use (PEOU), and attitude towards use (ATU).

Power analysis

G*Power software (version 3.1.6) calculated the minimum sample size based on a similar study³⁵. The following parameters were used: (1) effect size $d = 1.168^{45}$, (2) 80% power, (3) 5% alpha, (4) two groups, and (5) equal number of participants per group. A minimum of 13 subjects per group, totaling 26 subjects, is required. A sample size of n = 12 per group is expected to yield 95% power for detecting a time-effect difference. To accommodate an anticipated 10% dropout rate, we aimed to enroll 30 subjects (15 per group) in this study.

Statistical analysis

Categorical data was presented as number and percentage (%). Shapiro–Wilk test determined normality of continuous data (p > 0.05). Normally distributed data was presented with mean±standard deviation; non-normally distributed data with median (interquartile range, IQR). Baseline characteristics between groups were compared using t-test, Chi-square, and Mann-Whitney U test, with Cohen's d for effect size. Interaction effect between group and time was analyzed using generalized estimation equations (GEE) representing estimated means and standard errors [SE] at baseline and 4-weeks. No covariates adjustments were made due to low sample size. TAM % agreement per item was calculated using our prior medical technology acceptability studies' Equation^{46–48}, then compared between groups using t-test. SPSS 29.0 (IBM) was utilized for all statistical analyses with a significance set at p < 0.05.

Results

Thirty participants met the criteria, but five discontinued intervention during the blinded study phase (4-weeks), as detailed in Fig. 2. Thus, 25 patients were included for analysis (IG, n = 12; PG, n = 13). Twenty-three patients were referred from our post-COVID-19 Care/Internal Medicine Clinic; two patients were self-referred. The unblinded phase of the study (week 4 to 8) was not analyzed due to the increase in lost to follow-ups (n=4) and missed final visits (n=7).

Patient characteristics

Baseline clinical characteristics revealed a higher incidence of cancer history (41.7% vs. 0%, p=0.03) and osteoarthritis (33.3% vs. 0%, p=0.01) in the IG compared to the PG. Other baseline characteristics did not significantly differ between groups (Table 1).

Outcomes

Baseline BPI-I was significantly higher in the PG than the IG (Table 2). At 4-weeks, the IG showed a significantly greater decrease in BPI-I scores compared to the PG (mean difference = 2.61, p = 0.008, d = 1.12). However, within-group comparison did not show significant improvement (Table 3). Baseline BPI-S and GFI did not significantly differ between groups (Table 2), nor did they show significant differences at 4 weeks (Table 3).

Objective assessment of gait parameters showed no significant differences in baseline stride time, cadence, and double support phase between groups (Table 4). At 4-weeks from baseline, there was a significant improvement for stride time during the three walking tasks in the IG (single: 5.83%; dual: 8%; fast-walking: 3.96%, all p < 0.05). Similarly, cadence significantly improved at 4-weeks from baseline during the three waking tasks in the IG (single: 5.57%; dual: 9.5%; fast-walking: 3.89%, all p < 0.05). A significant time x group effect was seen for cadence and stride time (Supplemental Table 2). In addition, double-support phase significantly improved during the dual-task at 4-weeks from baseline in the IG (11.57%, p = 0.017).

Fibromyalgia sub-group

Participants meeting the 2010 ACR FM diagnostic criteria⁴⁹ were labeled as the FM sub-group (PG-FM, n=9; IG-FM, n=5). At 4-weeks from baseline, the IG-FM showed a decreased trend for BPI-I scores (mean change = 1.057 [SE=0.6], p=0.080). Comparison between sub-groups at 4-weeks showed a trend in favor of the IG-FM compared to the PG-FM (mean difference=1.39, p=0.125, d=2.257, Table 3). Moreover, the IG-FM showed a significant improvement in GFI scores at 4-weeks from baseline (mean change=6.08 [SE=10.61], p=0.005).



Fig. 2. Patient flow chart.

Feasibility metrics

At 4-weeks, median TENS therapy sessions per day were 4 (IQR=3-4.9) in the IG and 3.5 (IQR=3-5) in the PG. The median days of device use at 4-weeks were 27 (IQR=25-27.5) in the IG, and 26 (IQR=20-27) in the PG. Completers (\geq 3 sessions per day) rate in the IG was 100%, while 92.3% in the PG. High compliance (\geq 3.5 sessions per day) rate in the IG was 54.5%, while 61.5% in the PG (Supplemental Table 3).

No severe adverse events requiring discontinuation were reported. However, two patients (PG, n=1; IG, n=1) experienced mild pain and itchiness, respectively, when TENS was delivered immediately after shaving their lower extremities. After using TENS daily for 5 h, one patient (PG, n=1) reported mild fatigue, and one patient (IG, n=1) reported skin irritation. Two patients (IG, n=1; PG, n=1) complained of pain and skin spots, respectively, from the hydrogel pads when not weekly alternating the device to the contralateral calf.

The TAM questionnaire showed overall acceptability above 70% in all categories, with no significant differences between groups: PEOU (IG=94.2 \pm 10.8% vs. PG=91.5 \pm 9.9%, p=0.42), ATU (IG=89.2 \pm 10% vs. PG=83 \pm 14.4%, p=0.283), and PU (IG=71.2 \pm 11.6% vs. PG=61.4 \pm 14.9%, p=0.13).

Discussion

This pilot RCT examined the effectiveness of daily wearable TENS therapy in alleviating pain, fatigue, and gait alterations in individuals with long-COVID. High-dose TENS participants experienced greater reduction in functional interference due to pain compared to low-dose TENS participants. Additionally, objective assessment of gait parameters revealed significant improvement in stride time and cadence at 4 weeks from baseline in the high-dose TENS group across various walking tasks. Moreover, both groups exhibited high compliance rates (\geq 3 h per day) and reported device acceptability above 70% during the blinded study phase.

Previous RCTs utilizing FDA-approved pharmacological treatment for FM (i.e., duloxetine⁵⁰, milnacipran⁵¹) have shown improvement in functional interference from pain when compared to placebo, with a BPI-I — reflecting pain's impact on general activities, work, walk, relationships, mood and enjoyment of life — between-group mean difference ranging from 0.58 to 1.74 in a 12-week timeframe; however, accompanied by notable side effects including nausea (> 36%), constipation (> 14.7%), and dizziness (> 10.5%), amongst others^{49,51}. The present study explored TENS as a safer pain management intervention with known minimal side effects⁵². We acknowledge the IG had less severe functional baseline pain scores than the PG, thus, evidence suggests this cohort would have had lesser improvement post-intervention⁵³. However, after 4-weeks of TENS therapy, the IG showed significantly greater reduction of BPI-I scores compared to the PG (mean difference=2.61 points, p=0.008, Table 2).

	Placebo group $(n = 13)$	Intervention group $(n = 12)$	P-value	Effect-size (Cohen's d)				
Demographics								
Age, years	43.4±11.8	51 ± 12.3	0.12	0.64				
BMI, kg/m ²	27.7 ± 7.9	27.8±6	0.98	0.01				
Sex, female	9 (69.2)	10 (83.3)	0.41	0.33				
Race, no.								
African American	1 (7.7)	2 (16.7)	0.36					
Hispanic	2 (15.4)	3 (25)						
Asian	2 (15.4)	0		1.06				
White	6 (46.2)	6 (50)						
Other	2 (15.4) 1 (8.3)		1					
Comorbidities		-						
High blood pressure, no.	3 (23.1)	3 (25)	0.91	0.05				
Heart disease	3 (23.1)	5 (41.7)	0.32	0.41				
Depression	3 (23.1)	3 (25)	0.91	0.05				
Osteoarthritis	0	4 (33.3)	0.03	0.98				
Cancer	0	5 (41.7)	0.01	1.22				
Brain fog	7 (53.9)	9 (75)	0.27	0.45				
Hospitalization due to COVID-19	5 (38.5)	4 (33.3)	0.79	0.11				
Admitted to ICU	2 (15.4)	0	0.16	0.59				
Supplemental oxygen hospital	4 (30.8)	8) 1 (8.3)		0.58				
Current physical therapy	2 (15.4)	3 (25)	0.61	0.21				
Neurovascular lower extremity features	3							
Sural Nerve conduction velocity, m/s	58.1 ± 6.6	55.9±4	0.19	0.39				
Sural Nerve amplitude, μV	14.1±9.5	13.9±5.8	0.91	0.02				
Plantar SatO2, %	66.6±3.9	67±6.2	0.72	0.08				
Current long-COVID symptoms								
Days of persistent symptoms	335.5±175.6	377.7±249.1	0.63	0.28				
Memory difficulty, no.	10 (80)	11 (91.7)	0.59	0.43				
Shortness of breath	7 (53.9)	5 (41.7)	0.70	0.51				
Fatigue	13 (100)	11 (91.7)	0.31	0.43				
Insomnia	6 (46.1)	7 (58.3)	0.78	0.43				
Weakness	11 (84.6)	9 (75)	0.33	0.64				
Muscle pain	11 (84.6)	8 (66.7)	0.42	0.72				
Unsteady gait	7 (53.9)	6 (50)	0.76	0.45				
Atrophy	8 (61.5)	5 (41.7)	0.27	0.71				
Numbness	11 (84.6)	6 (50)	0.07	1.08				

Table 1. Baseline clinical characteristics. Reported as mean \pm standard deviation or n (%). Reported symptoms were not present before acute COVID-19 infection. *Kg* kilograms, *m* meters, *no*. number, μV microvolts, *m* meters, *s* seconds, muscle pain refers to pain in one or more muscles at the time of clinical assessment.

.....

The Initiative on Methods, Measurement, and Pain Assessment in Clinical Trials (IMMPACT) suggests a 1-point mean change from baseline to the targeted endpoint as a meaningful clinically significant difference (MCID)⁵⁴. In our study, the FM subgroup undergoing high-dose TENS showed a trend for reaching this MCID as early as 4-weeks (mean change = 1.06 points, p = 0.080). This magnitude of reduction in BPI-I scores with high-dose TENS mirrors the improvements noted by Kong et al.⁵⁵ and Jamison et al.³⁵ in non-long-COVID patients with FM after 60-days and 3-months therapy, respectively. Our study demonstrates the benefit of high-dose TENS for relieving functional interference from pain within a short period in long-COVID patients who met the criteria for FM. Despite a small sample size, the substantial effect size compared to low-dose TENS at 4-weeks (d=2.26) indicates the potential of high-dose TENS for larger, longer-term studies.

Functional interference from fatigue is also crucial to be assessed in long-COVID patients given its prolonged and severe nature affecting activities of daily living⁵⁶. Therefore, we used the GFI, a reliable tool that widely assess the impact of fatigue in many detailed aspects of life, from simple household duties and running errands, to social factors, recreational activities, exercising, sexual activity, amongst others^{37,38,57,58}. In patients with FM, GFI has shown significant improvement (1.4 to 1.6 points) from baseline to 14-weeks in those taking mild-moderate pregabalin doses (300 mg to 450mg)⁵⁹, with further improvement when increasing doses (600 mg) over 32 weeks⁶⁰. Interestingly, other pregabalin trials identified that substantial fatigue reduction, such as 10-point GFI decrease, was only seen in FM patients experiencing a 30% of pain reduction⁶¹. This trend was echoed in a recent study employing 4-week TENS therapy in women with FM⁶², which demonstrated a significant GFI improvement

	Placebo group (n = 13)	Intervention group (n = 12)	P-value	Effect-size (Cohen's d)
All cohort				
BPI-I, score	5.54 ± 0.62	3.17 ± 0.81	0.021	3.3
BPI-S	4.79 ± 0.43	3.60 ± 0.69	0.15	2.09
GFI	37.89±3.32	37.75 ± 2.65	0.98	0.05
Fibromyalgia sub-group	Placebo sub-group (n=9)	Intervention sub-group (n = 5)	P-value	Effect-size (Cohen's d)
BPI-I, score	6.48 ± 0.65	6.06 ± 0.66	0.65	0.64
BPI-S	5.28 ± 0.47	5.35 ± 1.01	0.95	0.1
GFI	42.63 ± 2.54	43.16 ± 2.38	0.88	0.21

Table 2. Baseline score comparison for pain and fatigue between groups/sub-groups. Reported as mean ± standard deviation. *PI-I* functional interference from pain via brief pain inventory, *BPI-S* pain severity via brief pain inventory, *GFI* global fatigue index via the multi-dimensional assessment of fatigue.

				Treatment comparison at 4-weeks (IG vs. PG)				
Variable	N	Mean change	SE	Mean difference	95% CI	p-value		
All cohort								
BPI-I, score								
PG	12	0.198	0.407	2.616	4 5 4 2 0 6 9 0	0.008		
IG	11	0.454	0.503	-2.010	-4.545, 0.069			
BPI-S								
PG	11	0.289	0.255	1 205	2 004 0 204	0.107		
IG	10	1.184	0.815	-1.505	-2.894, 0.284			
GFI								
PG	11	2.231	3.207	0.722	0.000 5.004	0.867		
IG	10	2.821	1.504	-0.732	-9.298, 7.834			
Fibromyalgia sub-group								
BPI-I, score								
PG	9	0.079	0.327	1 207	2 102 0 20	0.08		
IG	5	1.057	0.603	-1.397	-3.185, 0.39			
BPI-S								
PG	9	0.028	0.164	0.456	0.005 1.104	0.587		
IG	5	0.5	0.604	-0.456	-2.097, 1.186			
GFI								
PG	8	1.747	1.503	2.800	0 122 1 52	0.161		
IG	5	6.08*	2.188	-3.800	-9.132, 1.52			

Table 3. Pain and fatigue score comparison between groups/sub-groups at 4-weeks. *PG* placebo group, *IG* intervention group, *BPI-I* functional interference from pain via brief pain inventory, *BPI-S* pain severity via brief pain inventory, *GFI* global fatigue index via the multi-dimensional assessment of fatigue. *Significant within group improvement.

of 4.6-points correlated with reduced pain evoked by movement. While our study showed a significant 6.08-point GFI improvement in the sub-group of FM patients receiving high-dose TENS at 4-weeks from baseline, this mean change did not correlate with the observed changes in BPI-I scores. We attribute this discrepancy to the insufficient sample size, which limited our ability to distinguish between FM long-COVID patients experiencing major versus minor pain reduction alongside significant GFI improvement. In addition, despite there is some overlap between the GFI and BPI-I outcomes — working, walking, relationships/socialization—the GFI includes more areas like exercising, sexual activities, cooking, bathing, amongst others, that may be more influenced by fatigue than pain. A larger sample size evaluating similar domains is needed to determine the relationship between fatigue and pain improvement in long-COVID patients undergoing high-dose TENS.

In a recent systematic review, significant gait alterations were found in FM patients⁶³. Utilizing instrumented walkway systems, observational studies revealed FM patients have significantly shorter cadence and longer double support phases compared to healthy individuals⁶⁴. The present study exhibited that long-COVID patients with widespread pain undergoing 4-week high-dose TENS significantly improved their cadence by 5.6% and 9.5% in the single- and dual-tasks, respectively, and 3.9% in the fast-walking task. Moreover, studies

Variable	Placebo group (n = 12)+		Time effect p-value	% change	Intervention group (n=8)*		Time effect p-value	% change	P-value (Cohen's d) group difference at baseline	P-value (Cohen's d): group difference at 4-weeks
Single task										
Stride time (sec)	Baseline	1.18 ± 0.04	0.32	-2.54	Baseline	1.20 ± 0.04	0.017	-5.83	0.7 (0.15)	0.56 (0.17)
	4-weeks	1.15 ± 0.04			4-weeks	1.13 ± 0.03				
Double support phase (%)	Baseline	22.82 ± 1.16	- 0.93	-0.39	Baseline	24.13 ± 0.69	0.179	-4.31	0.33 (0.39)	0.82 (0.1)
	4-weeks	22.73 ± 1.25			4-weeks	23.09 ± 0.93				
Cadence (steps/min)	Baseline	103.22 ± 3.24	0.24	2.10	Baseline	101.40 ± 3.50	0.011	5.57	0.7 (0.17)	0.68 (0.17)
Cadence (steps/mm)	4-weeks	105.39 ± 3.12	0.34		4-weeks	107.05 ± 2.59				
Dual task										
Stride time (sec)	Baseline	1.39±0.12	- 0.06	-11.51	Baseline	1.25 ± 0.05	0.003	-8.00	0.26 (0.42)	0.33 (0.38)
	4-weeks	1.23 ± 0.07			4-weeks	1.15 ± 0.05				
Double support phase (%)	Baseline	28.02 ± 2.68	- 0.34	-6.64	Baseline	25.32 ± 1.33	0.017	-11.57	0.37 (0.35)	0.24 (0.45)
	4-weeks	26.16 ± 2.96			4-weeks	22.39 ± 1.27				
Cadence (steps/min)	Baseline	91.68±5.25	- 0.09	9.95	Baseline	97.27 ± 3.61	0.005	9.50	0.38 (0.36)	0.42 (0.36)
	4-weeks	100.80 ± 4.82			4-weeks	106.51 ± 5.29				
Fast-walking task										
Stride time (sec)	Baseline	1.05 ± 0.04	0.77	-1.90	Baseline	1.01 ± 0.04	0.048	-3.96	0.51 (0.3)	0.26 (0.5)
	4-weeks	1.03 ± 0.04			4-weeks	0.97 ± 0.03				
Faster walking: double support phase (%)	Baseline	19.62 ± 1.77	0.32	-7.85	Baseline	20.29 ± 0.94	0.065	-12.12	0.74 (0.13)	0.9 (0.05)
	4-weeks	18.08 ± 1.54			4-weeks	17.83 ± 1.13				
Faster walking: Cadence (steps/min)	Baseline	116.67±3.94	- 0.71	1.59	Baseline	120.67 ± 4.43	0.019	3.89	0.5 (0.3)	0.3 (0.45)
	4-weeks	118.53 ± 4.71			4-weeks	125.36 ± 4.64				

Table 4. Gait parameters comparison within and between groups at 4-weeks. Reported as mean ± standard deviation. Sec, seconds; min, minutes. *Sec* seconds, *min* minutes. +One patient did not complete the tasks either at baseline or 4-weeks. *Four patients did not complete the tasks either at baseline or 4-weeks.

have incorporated cognitive elements (dual-task) in walking assessments to predict risk-of-fall caused by fatigue in FM patients⁶⁵, showing a notable impact on double-support phase during such tasks⁶⁶. In the present study, the double-support phase of long-COVID patients undergoing 4-weeks high-dose TENS significantly improved by 11.6% in the dual-task. While no MCID is established for gait via wearables in FM patients, Kaleth et al.⁶⁷ established an anchor-based MCID for walking distance, indicating clinically meaningful fatigue improvement. Our findings suggest that reducing functional interference from fatigue may aid fall prevention in long-COVID patients with chronic pain; however, further risk-of-fall assessment is needed for confirmation.

To date, the objective assessment of gait improvement in FM patients has been limited to studies focusing on interventions like aerobic exercise and physical therapy^{68–70}. Moreover, the effectiveness of TENS in enhancing gait has only been investigated in stroke patients, however incorporating exercise therapy into the treatment regimen therapy as well⁷¹. This modality is often unsuitable for long-COVID patients given their high-risk for post-exertional malaise⁷². Consequently, they are generally advised to refrain from moderate physical exertion⁷³. In this context, high-dose TENS offers a promising alternative for improving gait parameters in long-COVID patients with FM-like symptoms. Nevertheless, further research with a larger sample size is essential to substantiate this potential benefit.

The adherence to analgesic drugs among FM patients can be influenced by intermittent pain and adverse events⁷⁴. In a duloxetine 12-week trial, up to 21% participants discontinued therapy due to adverse events⁷⁵. The present study showed no discontinuations at 4-weeks, despite 6 patients reporting mild fatigue, pain, and skin irritation. These issues were resolved with advice from the research team. Compliance resulted in a median of > 3.5 therapy sessions per day and > 26 days of device usage. High compliant (\geq 21 of days used) patients' rate was 100% in the IG and 81.8% in the PG. However, compliance decreased in the unblinded study phase (week-4 to 8). We attribute this to disengagement from placebo devices, depression, brain fog, and multiple hospital visits encountered by our patients during the study⁷⁶.

A key factor contributing to high compliance may be high acceptability and perceived benefit of the wearable TENS device. The high-dose TENS group showed slightly higher perceived usefulness compared to the low-dose group (~71.2% vs.~61.4%), consistent with a previous RCT in FM patients undergoing TENS therapy for 3 weeks⁷⁷. Moreover, all other acceptability items were similar to our previous trial involving long-COVID individuals undergoing electrical stimulation for musculoskeletal sequelae⁷⁸.

Limitations

This study encountered limitations, including a small sample size and substantial missing data (n = 11 patients) in the second/unblinded phase (weeks 4 to 8) due to challenges such as patients managing in-person clinic visits

and coordinating appointments with specialists in pulmonology, cardiology, and rheumatology. The prevalent 'brain fog' hindered participants from remembering study-related tasks, impacting questionnaire completion and appointment tracking. The short TENS therapy duration and unanalyzed adjuvant medications effects for pain and fatigue added complexity. While five patients (PG, n=4; IG, n=1) received concurrent physical therapy, detailed session information was not collected. Unblinding at the 4-week visit may have increased loss to follow-up and decreased therapy adherence.

Conclusion

High-dose daily TENS therapy in individuals with long-COVID experiencing persistent pain, fatigue, and weakness for an average of ~ 356 days post-acute COVID-19 infection was feasible, and acceptable, with a greater improvement in functional interference from pain when compared to low-dose TENS therapy. Additionally, using high-dose TENS led to an improvement in gait characteristics such as cadence, stride time, and double-support phase as early as 4-weeks. Lastly, those individuals with long-COVID meeting the 2010 ACR FM diagnostic criteria showed improvement in functional interference due to fatigue at 4-weeks from baseline. Future studies, with larger sample sizes and extended follow-up periods, are needed to confirm these findings.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are not publicly available but are available from the corresponding author BN, najafi.bijan@gmail.com upon reasonable request.

Received: 8 May 2024; Accepted: 4 November 2024 Published online: 08 November 2024

References

- 1. Raveendran, A., Jayadevan, R. & Sashidharan, S. Long COVID: an overview. Diabetes Metab. Syndr. Clin. Res. Revi. 15, 869–875 (2021).
- Control, C. D. & Prevention Nearly one in five American adults who have had COVID-19 still have long COVID. Natl. Center Health Stat. 622 https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/pressroom/nchs_ (2022).
- Sojka, A., Machniak, M., Andrzejewski, W., Kosendiak, A. & Chwałczyńska, A. Changes in physical activity and the occurrence of specific symptoms of long-COVID syndrome in men aged 18–25. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 19, 1199 (2022).
- 4. Khoja, O. et al. Clinical characteristics and mechanisms of musculoskeletal pain in long COVID. J. Pain Res. 1729–1748 (2022).
- 5. Parker, A. M. et al. Addressing the post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multidisciplinary model of care. *Lancet Respir. Med.* 9, 1328–1341 (2021).
- Keklicek, H., Selçuk, H., Kurt, İ., Ulukaya, S. & Öztürk, G. Individuals with a COVID-19 history exhibit asymmetric gait patterns despite full recovery. J. Biomech. 137, 111098 (2022).
- 7. Mitrović-Ajtić, O. et al. in Healthcare. (MDPI) (1666).
- Gil, S. et al. Post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 associates with physical inactivity in a cohort of COVID-19 survivors. *Sci. Rep.* 13, 215. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-26888-3 (2023).
- 9. Burgmer, M. et al. Cerebral mechanisms of experimental hyperalgesia in fibromyalgia. Eur. J. Pain 16, 636-647 (2012).
- 10. Suchdev, P. et al. Overview of the biomarkers reflecting inflammation and nutritional determinants of Anemia (BRINDA) project. *Adv. Nutr.* **7**, 349–356 (2016).
- Control, C., f., D. & Prevention post-COVID conditions: Information for healthcare providers. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/ 2019-ncov/hcp/clinical-care/post-covid-conditions.html (2024).
- 12. Plaut, S. & Long COVID-19 and viral fibromyalgia-ness: suggesting a mechanistic role for fascial myofibroblasts (Nineveh, the shadow is in the fascia). *Front. Med.* **10**, 952278 (2023).
- 13. Ursini, F. et al. Fibromyalgia: a new facet of the post-COVID-19 syndrome spectrum? Results from a web-based survey. *RMD Open* 7, e001735 (2021).
- Cordero, M. D. The inflammasome in fibromyalgia and CRPS: a microglial hypothesis? *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 11, 630–630 (2015).
 O'Brien, A. T., Deitos, A., Pego, Y. T. & Fregni, F. Carrillo-de-la-Peña, M. T. Defective endogenous pain modulation in fibromyalgia:
- a meta-analysis of temporal summation and conditioned pain modulation paradigms. *J. Pain* **19**, 819–836 (2018).
- 16. Williams, D. A. & Gracely, R. H. Biology and therapy of fibromyalgia. Functional magnetic resonance imaging findings in fibromyalgia. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* **8**, 1–8 (2007).
- Clough, E. et al. Mitochondrial dynamics in SARS-COV2 spike protein treated human microglia: implications for neuro-COVID. J. Neuroimmune Pharmacol., 1–15 (2021).
- Li, S. et al. SARS-CoV-2 triggers inflammatory responses and cell death through caspase-8 activation. Signal. Transduct. Target. Ther. 5, 235 (2020).
- Panariello, F., Cellini, L., Speciani, M., De Ronchi, D. & Atti, A. R. How does SARS-CoV-2 affect the central nervous system? A working hypothesis. *Front. Psychiatr.* 11, 582345 (2020).
- 20. Atta, A. A., Ibrahim, W. W., Mohamed, A. F. & Abdelkader N. F. Microglia polarization in nociplastic pain: mechanisms and perspectives. *Inflammopharmacology* **31**, 1053–1067 (2023).
- 21. Berry, M. et al. Dynamic functional brain connectivity underlying temporal summation of pain in fibromyalgia. Arthritis Rheumatol. 74, 700-710 (2022).
- 22. Mosch, B., Hagena, V., Herpertz, S. & Diers, M. Brain morphometric changes in fibromyalgia and the impact of psychometric and clinical factors: a volumetric and diffusion-tensor imaging study. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* **25**, 81 (2023).
- Scardua-Silva, L. et al. Microstructural brain abnormalities, fatigue, and cognitive dysfunction after mild COVID-19. Sci. Rep. 14, 1758 (2024).
- Fialho, M. F. P., Brum, E. S. & Oliveira, S. M. Could the fibromyalgia syndrome be triggered or enhanced by COVID-19? Inflammopharmacology 31, 633–651 (2023).
- 25. A Phase 2 study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of TNX-102 SL in patients with Multi-site Pain Associated with Post-acute Sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 (2023). Infection (PREVAIL).
- Fernández-de-las-Peñas, C., Nijs, J., Giordano, R. & Arendt-Nielsen, L. Precision management of post-COVID pain: an evidence and clinical-based approach. Eur. J. Pain 27, 1107–1125 (2023).
- DeSantana, J. M., Walsh, D. M., Vance, C., Rakel, B. A. & Sluka, K. A. Effectiveness of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for treatment of hyperalgesia and pain. *Curr. Rheumatol. Rep.* 10, 492–499 (2008).
- Vance, C. G., Dailey, D. L., Rakel, B. A. & Sluka, K. A. using TENS for pain control: the state of the evidence. Pain Manag. 4, 197-209 (2014).

- 29. Gozani, S. N. Remote analgesic effects of conventional transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation: a scientific and clinical review with a focus on chronic pain. J. pain Res., 3185–3201 (2019).
- Lin, T., Gargya, A., Singh, H., Sivanesan, E. & Gulati, A. Mechanism of peripheral nerve stimulation in chronic pain. Pain Med. 21, S6–S12 (2020).
- 31. Moayedi, M. & Davis, K. D. Theories of pain: from specificity to gate control. J. Neurophysiol. (2013).
- 32. Amer-Cuenca, J. J. et al. The dose-dependent effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for pain relief in individuals with fibromyalgia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain* 10.1097 (2022).
- Johnson, M. I., Claydon, L. S., Herbison, G. P., Jones, G. & Paley, C. A. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for fibromyalgia in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 10, Cd012172. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012172.pub2 (2017).
- Quell 2.0. Wearable pain relief technology TM User Manual. http://www.quellrelief.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/Quell-2. 0-User-Manual-PN2204823-Rev-A.pdf (2018).
- 35. Jamison, R. N. et al. Effects of wearable transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on fibromyalgia: a randomized controlled trial. *J. Pain Res.* 2265–2282 (2021).
- 36. Cleeland, C. & Ryan, K. Pain assessment: global use of the brief Pain Inventory. Ann. Acad. Med. Singapore 23, 129–138 (1994).
- Neuberger, G. B. Measures of fatigue: the fatigue questionnaire, fatigue severity scale, multidimensional assessment of fatigue scale, and short Form-36 vitality (Energy/Fatigue) subscale of the short Form Health Survey. Arthr. Rhuem. 49, S175–S183 (2003).
- Merriwether, E. N. et al. Physical activity is related to function and fatigue but not pain in women with fibromyalgia: baseline analyses from the fibromyalgia activity study with TENS (FAST). Arthritis Res. Ther. 20, 199. (2018).
- Beauchet, O. et al. Walking speed-related changes in stride time variability: effects of decreased speed. J. Neuroeng. Rehabil. 6, 1–6 (2009).
- 40. Deusen, J. V. Temporal and Distance Parameters, in Assessment in Occupational Therapy and Physical Therapy Chap. 17. (1996).
- 41. Lee, T., Lee, M., Youm, C., Noh, B. & Park, H. Association between gait variability and gait-ability decline in elderly women with subthreshold insomnia stage. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 17, 5181 (2020).
- 42. Kang, G. E., Zahiri, M., Lepow, B., Saleem, N. & Najafi, B. The effect of daily use of plantar mechanical stimulation through micro-mobile foot compression device installed in shoe insoles on vibration perception, gait, and balance in people with diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *J. Diabetes Sci. Technol.* **13**, 847–856 (2019).
- 43. Gewandter, J. S. et al. Wireless transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for chronic chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN): a proof-of-concept randomized clinical trial. *J. pain* (2023).
- Venkatesh, V. & Davis, F. D. A theoretical extension of the technology acceptance model: four longitudinal field studies. *Manag. Sci.* 46, 186–204 (2000).
- Jamison, R. N. et al. Effects of wearable transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on fibromyalgia: a randomized controlled trial. J. Pain Res. 14, 2265–2282. https://doi.org/10.2147/jpr.S316371 (2021).
- Zulbaran-Rojas, A. et al. Optimizing tissue oxygenation in reduction mammoplasty: the role of continuous diffusion of oxygen: a feasibility pilot randomized controlled trial. J. Surg. Res. 292, 113–122 (2023).
- Zulbaran-Rojas, A., Mishra, R., Pham, A., Suliburk, J. & Najafi, B. Continuous diffusion of oxygen adjunct therapy to improve scar reduction after cervicotomy–A proof of concept randomized controlled trial. J. Surg. Res. 268, 585–594 (2021).
- 48. Zulbaran-Rojas, A., Park, C., El-Refaei, N., Lepow, B. & Najafi, B. Home-based electrical stimulation to accelerate wound healing—a double-blinded randomized control trial. *J. Diabetes Sci. Technol.* **17**, 15–24 (2023).
- Wolfe, F. et al. The American College of Rheumatology preliminary diagnostic criteria for fibromyalgia and measurement of symptom severity. Arthritis Care Res. 62, 600–610 (2010).
- Arnold, L. M. et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of duloxetine in the treatment of women with fibromyalgia with or without major depressive disorder. *Pain* 119, 5–15 (2005).
- Arnold, L. M., Gendreau, R. M., Palmer, R. H., Gendreau, J. F. & Wang, Y. Efficacy and safety of milnacipran 100 mg/day in patients with fibromyalgia: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Arthr. Rhuem.* 62, 2745–2756 (2010).
- Coskun Benlidayi, I. The effectiveness and safety of electrotherapy in the management of fibromyalgia. *Rheumatol. Int.* 40, 1571– 1580 (2020).
- Salaffi, F., Stancati, A., Silvestri, C. A., Ciapetti, A. & Grassi, W. Minimal clinically important changes in chronic musculoskeletal pain intensity measured on a numerical rating scale. *Eur. J. Pain* 8, 283–291 (2004).
- Ahmed, M., Aamir, R., Jishi, Z. & Scharf, M. B. The effects of milnacipran on sleep disturbance in fibromyalgia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, two-way crossover study. J. Clin. Sleep. Med. 12, 79–86. https://doi.org/10.5664/jcsm.5400 (2016).
- 55. Kong, X. & Gozani, S. N. Effectiveness of fixed-site high-frequency transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in chronic pain: a large-scale, observational study. *J. pain Res.* 703–714 (2018).
- Azadvari, M., Haghparast, A., Nakhostin-Ansari, A., Razavi, S. Z. E. & Hosseini, M. Musculoskeletal symptoms in patients with long COVID: a cross-sectional study on Iranian patients. *Heliyon* 8 (2022).
- Bormann, J., Shively, M., Smith, T. L. & Gifford, A. L. Measurement of fatigue in HIV-Positive adults: reliability and validity of the global fatigue index. J. Assoc. Nurses AIDS Care 12, 75–83 (2001).
- Stebbings, S., Herbison, P., Doyle, T., Treharne, G. J. & Highton, J. A comparison of fatigue correlates in rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis: disparity in associations with disability, anxiety and sleep disturbance. *Rheumatology* 49, 361–367 (2009).
- Straube, S., Derry, S., Moore, R. A. & McQuay, H. J. Pregabalin in fibromyalgia: meta-analysis of efficacy and safety from company clinical trial reports. *Rheumatology* 49, 706–715 (2010).
- Crofford, L. J. et al. Fibromyalgia relapse evaluation and efficacy for durability of meaningful relief (FREEDOM): a 6-month, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial with pregabalin. *Pain* 136, 419–431 (2008).
- 61. Moore, A. R. et al. Fibromyalgia: moderate and substantial pain intensity reduction predicts improvement in other outcomes and substantial quality of life gain. *Pain* **149**, 360–364 (2010).
- 62. Dailey, D. L. et al. A randomized controlled trial of tens for movement-evoked pain in women with fibromyalgia. Arthritis Rheumatol. (Hoboken NJ) 72, 824 (2020).
- 63. Carrasco-Vega, E., Ruiz-Muñoz, M., Cuesta-Vargas, A., Romero-Galisteo, R. P. & González-Sánchez, M. Individuals with fibromyalgia have a different gait pattern and a reduced walk functional capacity: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *PeerJ* 10, e12908 (2022).
- Heredia-Jimenez, J., Latorre-Roman, P., Santos-Campos, M., Orantes-Gonzalez, E. & Soto-Hermoso, V. M. Spatio-temporal gait disorder and gait fatigue index in a six-minute walk test in women with fibromyalgia. *Clin. Biomech. Elsevier Ltd.* 33, 1–6 (2016).
- 65. Martín-Martínez, J. P. et al. Impact of cognitive tasks on biomechanical and kinematic parameters of gait in women with fibromyalgia: a cross-sectional study. *Physiol. Behav.* 227, 113171 (2020).
- 66. Radunović, G. et al. Assessment of gait in patients with fibromyalgia during motor and cognitive dual task walking: a crosssectional study. *Adv. Rheumatol.* **61**, 53 (2021).
- Kaleth, A. S., Slaven, J. E. & Ang, D. C. Determining the minimal clinically important difference for 6-minute walk distance in fibromyalgia. Am. J. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 95, 738–745 (2016).
- Salvat, I. et al. Functional status, physical activity level, and exercise regularity in patients with fibromyalgia after multidisciplinary treatment: retrospective analysis of a randomized controlled trial. *Rheumatol. Int.* 37, 377–387 (2017).
- 69. Tiidus, P. M., Pierrynowski, M. & Dawson, K. A. Influence of moderate training on gait and work capacity of fibromyalgia patients: a preliminary field study. J. Sports Sci. Med. 1, 122 (2002).

- Tran, S. T. et al. A pilot study of biomechanical assessment before and after an integrative training program for adolescents with juvenile fibromyalgia. *Pediatr. Rheumatol. Online J.* 14, 43. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12969-016-0103-7 (2016).
- 71. Lin, S., Sun, Q., Wang, H. & Xie, G. Influence of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on spasticity, balance, and walking speed in stroke patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Rehabil. Med. 50, 3–7 (2018).
- 72. Appelman, B. et al. Muscle abnormalities worsen after post-exertional malaise in long COVID. Nat. Commun. 15, 1-15 (2024).
- 73. Singh, I. et al. Persistent exertional intolerance after COVID-19: insights from invasive cardiopulmonary exercise testing. *Chest* **161**, 54–63 (2022).
- 74. Roskell, N. S., Beard, S. M., Zhao, Y. & Le, T. K. A meta-analysis of pain response in the treatment of fibromyalgia. *Pain Pract.* 11, 516–527 (2011).
- 75. Arnold, L. M. et al. A double-blind, multicenter trial comparing duloxetine with placebo in the treatment of fibromyalgia patients with or without major depressive disorder. *Arthritis Rheum. Official J. Am. Coll. Rheumatol.* **50**, 2974–2984 (2004).
- 76. Orfei, M. D. et al. A new look on long-COVID effects: the functional brain fog syndrome. J. Clin. Med. 11, 5529 (2022).
- 77. Dailey, D. L. et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation reduces pain, fatigue and hyperalgesia while restoring central inhibition in primary fibromyalgia. *Pain** 154, 2554–2562 (2013).
- 78. Zulbaran-Rojas, A. et al. Electrical stimulation to regain lower extremity muscle perfusion and endurance in patients with postacute sequelae of SARS CoV-2: a randomized controlled trial. *Physiol. Rep.* 11, e15636 (2023).

Acknowledgements

We thank Elissa Love, MS, PA-C, Dana Gross, MD, and Isabel Valdez, PA-C for patient referral.

Author contributions

Concept and design: AZ, BN; Data Acquisition: AZ, RB, MB, AF, MP, TP; Data analysis: ML, MR; Preparing tables and figures: ML, AZ, TP; Interpretation of data: AZ, MB, AF, BN; Drafting the manuscript: AZ, RB, MB, TP, SM; Critical revision of the manuscript: BN, RB, AZ, DM, FS. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation's Industry-University Cooperative Research Centers (IUCRC), specifically from the Center to Stream HealthCare in Place (C2SHIP), with award numbers NSF 2052514 and C2SHIP Y01-BCM-008. Additionally, there was in-kind support provided by Neuro-metrix Inc., based in Massachusetts, USA, which is the manufacturer of the Quell^{*} TENS device.

Declarations

Competing interests

BN is a consultant for BioSensics LLC (MA, USA) a manufacturer of the IMUs used in this study, who declares potential conflicts of interest regarding the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Although his consultation does not relate to the scope of this study, he was not involved in the analysis of data for this study. No additional potential conflicts of interest were reported. The disclosure for other authors is none.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board for Human Subject Research for Baylor College of Medicine and Affiliated Hospitals (BCM IRB: #H-50753; Initial submit date: 10/27/2021). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. The informed consent was obtained from all participants and/or their legal guardians.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/1 0.1038/s41598-024-78651-5.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to B.N.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024